

# An Introduction to Geometric Algebra and Calculus

Alan Bromborsky  
Army Research Lab (Retired)  
abrombo@verizon.net

July 7, 2009

# History

Geometric algebra is the Clifford algebra of a finite dimensional vector space over real scalars cast in a form most appropriate for physics and engineering. This was done by David Hestenes (Arizona State University) in the 1960's. From this start he developed the geometric calculus whose fundamental theorem includes the generalized Stokes theorem, the residue theorem, and new integral theorems not realized before. Hestenes likes to say he was motivated by the fact that physicists and engineers did not know how to multiply vectors.

Researchers at Arizona State and Cambridge have applied these developments to classical mechanics, quantum mechanics, general relativity (gauge theory of gravity), projective geometry, conformal geometry, etc.

# Axioms of Geometric Algebra

Let  $\mathcal{V}(p, q)$  be a finite dimensional vector space of signature  $(p, q)$ <sup>1</sup> over  $\mathfrak{R}$ . Then  $\forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{V}$  there exists a geometric product with the properties -

$$\begin{aligned}(ab)c &= a(bc) \\ a(b+c) &= ab+ac \\ (a+b)c &= ac+bc \\ aa &\in \mathfrak{R}\end{aligned}$$

If  $a^2 \neq 0$  then  $a^{-1} = \frac{1}{a^2}a$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>To be completely general we would have to consider  $\mathcal{V}(p, q, r)$  where the dimension of the vector space is  $n = p + q + r$  and  $p, q$ , and  $r$  are the number of basis vectors respectively with positive, negative and zero squares.

## Why Learn This Stuff?

The geometric product of two (or more) vectors produces something “new” like the  $\sqrt{-1}$  with respect to real numbers or vectors with respect to scalars. It must be studied in terms of its effect on vectors and in terms of its symmetries. It is worth the effort. Anything that makes understanding rotations in a  $N$  dimensional space simple is worth the effort! Also, if one proceeds on to geometric calculus many diverse areas in mathematics are unified and many areas of physics and engineering are greatly simplified.

## Inner, $\cdot$ , and outer, $\wedge$ , product of two vectors and their basic properties

$$a \cdot b \equiv \frac{1}{2} (ab + ba) \quad (1)$$

$$a \wedge b \equiv \frac{1}{2} (ab - ba) \quad (2)$$

$$ab = a \cdot b + a \wedge b \quad (3)$$

$$a \wedge b = -b \wedge a \quad (4)$$

$$c = a + b$$

$$c^2 = (a + b)^2$$

$$c^2 = a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 \quad (5)$$

$$2a \cdot b = c^2 - a^2 - b^2$$

$$a \cdot b \in \Re$$

$$a \cdot b = |a| |b| \cos(\theta) \text{ if } a^2, b^2 > 0 \quad (6)$$

Orthogonal vectors are defined by  $a \cdot b = 0$ .

For orthogonal vectors  $a \wedge b = ab$ .

Now compute  $(a \wedge b)^2$

$$(a \wedge b)^2 = -(a \wedge b)(b \wedge a) \quad (7)$$

$$= -(ab - a \cdot b)(ba - a \cdot b) \quad (8)$$

$$= -\left(abba - (a \cdot b)(ab + ba) + (a \cdot b)^2\right) \quad (9)$$

$$= -\left(a^2b^2 - (a \cdot b)^2\right) \quad (10)$$

$$= -a^2b^2(1 - \cos^2(\theta)) \quad (11)$$

$$= -a^2b^2 \sin^2(\theta) \quad (12)$$

Thus in a Euclidean space,  $a^2, b^2 > 0$ ,  $(a \wedge b)^2 \leq 0$  and  $a \wedge b$  is proportional to  $\sin(\theta)$ . If  $e_{\parallel}$  and  $e_{\perp}$  are any two orthonormal unit vectors in a Euclidean space then  $(e_{\parallel}e_{\perp})^2 = -1$ . Who needs the  $\sqrt{-1}$ ?

## Outer, $\wedge$ , product for $r$ Vectors in terms of the geometric product

We define the outer product of  $r$  vectors to be

$$a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_r \equiv \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_r} \quad (13)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge (a_j + b_j) \wedge \dots \wedge a_r &= \\ a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_j \wedge \dots \wedge a_r + a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge b_j \wedge \dots \wedge a_r & \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_j \wedge a_{j+1} \wedge \dots \wedge a_r &= \\ -a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_{j+1} \wedge a_j \wedge \dots \wedge a_r & \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

The outer product of  $r$  vectors is called a blade of grade  $r$ .

## Alternate Definition of Outer, $\wedge$ , product for $r$ Vectors

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r$  be an orthogonal basis for the set of linearly independent vectors  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  so that we can write

$$a_i = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} e_j \quad (16)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 a_2 \dots a_r &= \left( \sum_{j_1} \alpha_{1j_1} e_{j_1} \right) \left( \sum_{j_2} \alpha_{2j_2} e_{j_2} \right) \dots \left( \sum_{j_r} \alpha_{rj_r} e_{j_r} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \alpha_{1j_1} \alpha_{2j_2} \dots \alpha_{rj_r} e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \dots e_{j_r} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Now define a blade of grade  $n$  as the geometric product of  $n$  orthogonal vectors. Thus the product  $e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\dots e_{j_r}$  in equation 17 could be a blade of grade  $r$ ,  $r - 2$ ,  $r - 4$ , etc. depending upon the number of repeated factors.

If there are no repeated factors in the product we have that

$$e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_r} = \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{j_1\dots j_r} e_1 \dots e_r \quad (18)$$

Due to the fact that interchanging two adjacent orthogonal vectors in the geometric product will reverse the sign of the product and we can define the outer product of  $r$  vectors as

$$a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_r = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{j_1\dots j_r} \alpha_{1j_1} \dots \alpha_{rj_r} e_1 \dots e_r \quad (19)$$

$$= \det(\alpha) e_1 \dots e_r \quad (20)$$

Thus the outer product of  $r$  independent vectors is the part of the

geometric product of the  $r$  vectors that is of grade  $r$ . Equation 19 is equivalent to equation 13.

This can be proved by substituting equation 17 into equation 13 to get

$$a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_r = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} \dots \alpha_{i_r j_r} e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_r} \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{j_1 \dots j_r} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} \dots \alpha_{i_r j_r} e_1 \dots e_r \quad (22)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{j_1 \dots j_r} \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{j_1 \dots j_r} \det(\alpha) e_1 \dots e_r \quad (23)$$

$$= \det(\alpha) e_1 \dots e_r \quad (24)$$

We go from equation 22 to equation 23 by noting that  $\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_r} \varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{i_1 \dots i_r} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} \dots \alpha_{i_r j_r}$  is just  $\det(\alpha)$  with the columns permuted.

Multiplying  $\det(\alpha)$  by  $\varepsilon_{1\dots r}^{j_1\dots j_r}$  gives the correct sign for the determinant with the columns permuted.

If  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is an orthonormal basis for vector space the unit psuedoscalar is defined as

$$I = e_1 \dots e_n \quad (25)$$

In equation 24 let  $r = n$  and the  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be another orthonormal basis for the vector space. Then we may write

$$a_1 \dots a_n = \det(\alpha) e_1 \dots e_n \quad (26)$$

Since both the  $a$ 's and the  $e$ 's form orthonormal bases the matrix  $\alpha$  is orthogonal and  $\det(\alpha) = \pm 1$ . All psuedoscalars for the vector space are identical to within a scale factor of  $\pm 1$ .<sup>2</sup>

Likewise  $a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n$  is equal to  $I$  times a scale factor.

---

<sup>2</sup>It depends only upon the ordering of the basis vectors.

## Useful Relation's

1. For a set of  $r$  orthogonal vectors,  $e_1, \dots, e_r$

$$e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_r = e_1 \dots e_r \quad (27)$$

2. For a set of  $r$  linearly independent vectors,  $a_1, \dots, a_r$ , there exists a set of  $r$  orthogonal vectors,  $e_1, \dots, e_r$ , such that

$$a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_r = e_1 \dots e_r \quad (28)$$

If the vectors,  $a_1, \dots, a_r$ , are not linearly independent then

$$a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_r = 0 \quad (29)$$

The product  $a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_r$  is call a “blade” of grade  $r$ . The dimension of the vector space is the highest grade any blade can have.

# Projection Operator

A multivector, the basic element of the geometric algebra, is made of a sum of scalars, vectors, blades. A multivector is homogeneous (pure) if all the blades in it are of the same grade. The grade of a scalar is 0 and the grade of a vector is 1. The general multivector  $A$  is decomposed with the grade projection operator  $\langle A \rangle_r$  as ( $N$  is dimension of the vector space):

$$A = \sum_{r=0}^N \langle A \rangle_r \quad (30)$$

As an example consider  $ab$ , the product of two vectors. Then

$$ab = \langle ab \rangle_0 + \langle ab \rangle_2 \quad (31)$$

We define  $\langle A \rangle \equiv \langle A \rangle_0$  for any multivector  $A$

# Basis Blades

The geometric algebra of a vector space,  $\mathcal{V}(p, q)$ , is denoted  $\mathcal{G}(p, q)$  or  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V})$  where  $(p, q)$  is the signature of the vector space (first  $p$  unit vectors square to  $+1$  and next  $q$  unit vectors square to  $-1$ , dimension of the space is  $p + q$ ). Examples are:

$p$	$q$	Type of Space
3	0	3D Euclidean
1	3	Relativistic Space Time
4	1	3D Conformal Geometry

If the orthonormal basis set of the vector space is  $e_1, \dots, e_N$ , the basis of the geometric algebra (multivector space) is formed from the geometric products (since we have chosen an orthonormal basis) of the basis vectors. For grade  $r$  multivectors the basis blades are all the combinations of basis vectors products taken  $r$  at a time from the set of  $N$  vectors. Thus the number basis blades of  $r$  rank are  $\binom{N}{r}$ , the binomial expansion coefficient and the total dimension of the multivector space is the sum of  $\binom{N}{r}$  over  $r$  which is  $2^N$ . Thus the basis blades for  $\mathcal{G}(3, 0)$  are:

	Grade		
0	1	2	3
1	$e_1$	$e_1e_2$	$e_1e_2e_3$
	$e_2$	$e_1e_3$	
	$e_3$	$e_2e_3$	

The multiplication table for the  $\mathcal{G}(3, 0)$  basis blades is

	1	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_1e_2$	$e_1e_3$	$e_2e_3$	$e_1e_2e_3$
1	1	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_1e_2$	$e_1e_3$	$e_2e_3$	$e_1e_2e_3$
$e_1$	$e_1$	1	$e_1e_2$	$e_1e_3$	$e_2$	$e_3$	$e_1e_2e_3$	$e_2e_3$
$e_2$	$e_2$	$-e_1e_2$	1	$e_2e_3$	$-e_1$	$-e_1e_2e_3$	$e_3$	$-e_1e_3$
$e_3$	$e_3$	$-e_1e_3$	$-e_2e_3$	1	$e_1e_2e_3$	$-e_1$	$-e_2$	$e_1e_2$
$e_1e_2$	$e_1e_2$	$-e_2$	$e_1$	$e_1e_2e_3$	$-1$	$-e_2e_3$	$e_1e_3$	$-e_3$
$e_1e_3$	$e_1e_3$	$-e_3$	$-e_1e_2e_3$	$e_1$	$e_2e_3$	$-1$	$-e_1e_2$	$e_2$
$e_2e_3$	$e_2e_3$	$e_1e_2e_3$	$-e_3$	$e_2$	$-e_1e_3$	$e_1e_2$	$-1$	$-e_1$
$e_1e_2e_3$	$e_1e_2e_3$	$e_2e_3$	$-e_1e_3$	$e_1e_2$	$-e_3$	$e_2$	$-e_1$	$-1$

Note that the squares of all the grade 2 and 3 basis blades are  $-1$ . The highest rank basis blade (in this case  $e_1e_2e_3$ ) is usually denoted by  $I$  and is called the pseudoscalar.

The multiplication table for the  $\mathcal{G}(1, 3)$  basis blades is (Part I)


	1	$\gamma_0$	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$	$\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$
1	1	$\gamma_0$	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$	$\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$
$\gamma_0$	$\gamma_0$	1	$\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$
$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	-1	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_2$
$\gamma_2$	$\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2$	-1	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0$	$\gamma_1$
$\gamma_3$	$\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_2\gamma_3$	-1	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$
$\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_0$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	1	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2$
$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	1	$\gamma_0\gamma_1$
$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_1$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	-1
$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$
$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_2\gamma_3$
$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$
$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_0$
$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$
$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$
$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_3$
$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_3$

The multiplication table for the  $\mathcal{G}(1, 3)$  basis blades is (Part II)

	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$
1	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$
$\gamma_0$	$\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$
$\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$
$\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$
$\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0$	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$
$\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2$	$\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$
$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_3$
$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_3$
$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	1	$\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$
$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	-1	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$
$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2$	-1	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0$	$-\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$
$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	-1	$\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_3$
$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_0$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_2\gamma_3$	-1	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$\gamma_2$
$\gamma_0\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0$	$\gamma_1\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_1\gamma_2$	-1	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$-\gamma_1$
$\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_1$	1	$-\gamma_0$
$\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$	$\gamma_1\gamma_2$	$\gamma_0\gamma_2$	$-\gamma_0\gamma_1$	$\gamma_3$	$-\gamma_2$	$\gamma_1$	$\gamma_0$	-1

# Reflections

We wish to show that  $a, v \in \mathcal{V} \rightarrow ava \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $v$  is reflected about  $a$  if  $a^2 = 1$ .



1. Decompose  $v = v_{\parallel} + v_{\perp}$  where  $v_{\parallel}$  is the part of  $v$  parallel to  $a$  and  $v_{\perp}$  is the part perpendicular to  $a$ .

2.  $av = av_{\parallel} + av_{\perp} = v_{\parallel}a - v_{\perp}a$  since  $a$  and  $v_{\perp}$  are orthogonal.
3.  $ava = a^2(v_{\parallel} - v_{\perp})$  is a vector since  $a^2$  is a scalar.
4.  $ava$  is the reflection of  $v$  about the direction of  $a$  if  $a^2 = 1$ .
5. Thus  $a_1 \dots a_r v a_r \dots a_1 \in \mathcal{V}$  and produces a composition of reflections of  $v$  if  $a_1^2 = \dots = a_r^2 = 1$ .

# Rotations, Part 1

First define the reverse of a product of vectors. If  $R = a_1 \dots a_s$  then the reverse is  $R^\dagger = (a_1 \dots a_s)^\dagger = a_r \dots a_1$ , the order of multiplication is reversed. Then let  $R = ab$  so that

$$RR^\dagger = (ab)(ba) = ab^2a = a^2b^2 = R^\dagger R \quad (32)$$

Let  $RR^\dagger = 1$  and calculate  $(RvR^\dagger)^2$ , where  $v$  is an arbitrary vector.

$$(RvR^\dagger)^2 = RvR^\dagger RvR^\dagger = Rv^2R^\dagger = v^2RR^\dagger = v^2 \quad (33)$$

Thus  $RvR^\dagger$  leaves the length of  $v$  unchanged.

Now we must also prove  $Rv_1R^\dagger \cdot Rv_2R^\dagger = v_1 \cdot v_2$ . Since  $Rv_1R^\dagger$  and  $Rv_2R^\dagger$  are both vectors we can use the definition of the dot product for two vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
Rv_1R^\dagger \cdot Rv_2R^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2} (Rv_1R^\dagger Rv_2R^\dagger + Rv_2R^\dagger Rv_1R^\dagger) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (Rv_1v_2R^\dagger + Rv_2v_1R^\dagger) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} R(v_1v_2 + v_2v_1) R^\dagger \\
&= R(v_1 \cdot v_2) R^\dagger \\
&= v_1 \cdot v_2 RR^\dagger \\
&= v_1 \cdot v_2
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the transformation  $RvR^\dagger$  preserves both length and angle and must be a rotation. The normal designation for  $R$  is a rotor.

If we have a series of successive rotations  $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k$  to be applied to

a vector  $v$  then the result of the  $k$  rotations will be

$$R_k R_{k-1} \dots R_1 v R_1^\dagger R_2^\dagger \dots R_k^\dagger$$

Since each individual rotation can be written as the geometric product of two vectors, the composition of  $k$  rotations can be written as the geometric product of  $2k$  vectors. The multivector that results from the geometric product of  $r$  vectors is called a **versor** of order  $r$ . A composition of rotations is always a versor of even order.

## Rotations, Part 2

The general rotation can be represented by  $R = e^{\frac{\theta}{2}u}$  where  $u$  is a unit bivector in the plane of the rotation and  $\theta$  is the rotation angle in the plane.<sup>3</sup> The two possible non-degenerate cases are  $u^2 = \pm 1$

$$e^{\frac{\theta}{2}u} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \text{(Euclidean plane)} & u^2 = -1 : \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + u \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ \text{(Minkowski plane)} & u^2 = 1 : \cosh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + u \sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \end{array} \right\} \quad (34)$$


Decompose  $v = v_{\parallel} + (v - v_{\parallel})$  where  $v_{\parallel}$  is the projection of  $v$  into the plane defined by  $u$ . Note the  $v - v_{\parallel}$  is orthogonal to all vectors in the  $u$  plane. Now let  $u = e_{\perp}e_{\parallel}$  where  $e_{\parallel}$  is parallel to  $v_{\parallel}$  and of course  $e_{\perp}$  is in the plane  $u$  and orthogonal to  $e_{\parallel}$ .  $v - v_{\parallel}$  anticommutes with  $e_{\parallel}$  and  $e_{\perp}$  and  $v_{\parallel}$  anticommutes with  $e_{\perp}$  (it is left to the viewer to show  $RR^{\dagger} = 1$ ).

---

<sup>3</sup> $e^A$  is defined as the Taylor series expansion  $e^A = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^j}{j!}$  where  $A$  is any multivector.

## Euclidean Case

For the case of  $u^2 = -1$



$$RvR^{\dagger} = \left( \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + e_{\perp}e_{\parallel} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right) (v_{\parallel} + (v - v_{\parallel})) \left( \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + e_{\parallel}e_{\perp} \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right)$$

Since  $v - v_{\parallel}$  anticommutes with  $e_{\parallel}$  and  $e_{\perp}$  it commutes with  $R$  and

$$RvR^{\dagger} = Rv_{\parallel}R^{\dagger} + (v - v_{\parallel}) \quad (35)$$

So that we only have to evaluate

$$Rv_{\parallel}R^{\dagger} = \left( \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + e_{\perp}e_{\parallel}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right) v_{\parallel} \left( \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + e_{\parallel}e_{\perp}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right) \quad (36)$$

Since  $v_{\parallel} = |v_{\parallel}| e_{\parallel}$

$$Rv_{\parallel}R^{\dagger} = |v_{\parallel}| (\cos(\theta) e_{\parallel} + \sin(\theta) e_{\perp}) \quad (37)$$

and the component of  $v$  in the  $u$  plane is rotated correctly.

## Minkowski Case

For the case of  $u^2 = 1$  there are two possibilities,  $v_{\parallel}^2 > 0$  or  $v_{\parallel}^2 < 0$ . In the first case  $e_{\parallel}^2 = 1$  and  $e_{\perp}^2 = -1$ . In the second case  $e_{\parallel}^2 = -1$  and  $e_{\perp}^2 = 1$ . Again  $v - v_{\parallel}$  is not affected by the rotation so that we need only evaluate

$$Rv_{\parallel}R^{\dagger} = \left( \cosh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + e_{\perp}e_{\parallel}\sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right) v_{\parallel} \left( \cosh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) + e_{\parallel}e_{\perp}\sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \right)$$

Note that in this case  $|v_{\parallel}| = \sqrt{|v_{\parallel}^2|}$  and

$$Rv_{\parallel}R^{\dagger} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_{\parallel}^2 > 0 : |v_{\parallel}| (\cosh(\theta) e_{\parallel} + \sinh(\theta) e_{\perp}) \\ v_{\parallel}^2 < 0 : |v_{\parallel}| (\cosh(\theta) e_{\parallel} - \sinh(\theta) e_{\perp}) \end{array} \right\} \quad (38)$$

## Expansion of geometric product and generalization of $\cdot$ and $\wedge$

If  $A_r$  and  $B_s$  are respectively grade  $r$  and  $s$  pure grade multivectors then

$$A_r B_s = \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{|r-s|} + \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{|r-s|+2} + \cdots + \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{\min(r+s, 2N-(r+s))} \quad (39)$$

$$A_r \cdot B_s \equiv \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{|r-s|} \quad (40)$$

$$A_r \wedge B_s \equiv \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{r+s} \quad (41)$$

Thus if  $r + s > N$  then  $A_r \wedge B_s = 0$ , also note that these formulas are the most efficient way of calculating  $A_r \cdot B_s$  and  $A_r \wedge B_s$ .

Using equations 28 and 39 we can prove that for a vector  $a$  and a grade  $r$  multivector  $B_r$

$$a \cdot B_r = \frac{1}{2} (a B_r - (-1)^r B_r a) \quad (42)$$

$$a \wedge B_r = \frac{1}{2} (aB_r + (-1)^r B_r a) \quad (43)$$

If equations 42 and 43 are true for a grade  $r$  blade they are also true for a grade  $r$  multivector (superposition of grade  $r$  blades). By equation 28 let  $B_r = e_1 \dots e_r$  where the  $e$ 's are orthogonal and expand  $a$

$$a = a_{\perp} + \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j e_j \quad (44)$$

where  $a_{\perp}$  is orthogonal to all the  $e$ 's. Then<sup>4</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} aB_r &= \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{j-1} \alpha_j e_j^2 e_1 \dots \check{e}_j \dots e_r + a_{\perp} e_1 \dots e_r \\ &= a \cdot B_r + a \wedge B_r \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

---

<sup>4</sup> $e_1 \dots e_{j-1} \check{e}_j e_{j+1} \dots e_r = e_1 \dots e_{j-1} e_{j+1} \dots e_r$

Now calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
 B_r a &= \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{r-j} \alpha_j e_j^2 e_1 \cdots \check{e}_j \cdots e_r - (-1)^{r-1} a_{\perp} e_1 \cdots e_r \\
 &= (-1)^{r-1} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{j-1} \alpha_j e_j^2 e_1 \cdots \check{e}_j \cdots e_r - a_{\perp} e_1 \cdots e_r \right) \\
 &= (-1)^{r-1} (a \cdot B_r - a \wedge B_r) \tag{46}
 \end{aligned}$$

Adding and subtracting equations 45 and 46 gives equations 42 and 43.

## Duality and the Pseudoscalar

If  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is an orthonormal basis for the vector space the the pseudoscalar  $I$  is defined by

$$I = e_1 \dots e_n \quad (47)$$

Since one can transform one orthonormal basis to another by an orthogonal transformation the  $I$ 's for all orthonormal bases are equal to within a  $\pm 1$  scale factor with depends on the ordering of the basis vectors.

If  $A_r$  is a pure  $r$  grade multivector ( $A_r = \langle A_r \rangle_r$ ) then

$$A_r I = \langle A_r I \rangle_{n-r} \quad (48)$$

or  $A_r I$  is a pure  $n - r$  grade multivector. Further by the symmetry

properties of  $I$  we have

$$IA_r = (-1)^{(n-1)r} A_r I \quad (49)$$

$I$  can also be used to exchange the  $\cdot$  and  $\wedge$  products as follows using equations 42 and 43

$$a \cdot (A_r I) = \frac{1}{2} \left( a A_r I - (-1)^{n-r} A_r I a \right) \quad (50)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( a A_r I - (-1)^{n-r} (-1)^{n-1} A_r a I \right) \quad (51)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (a A_r + (-1)^r A_r a) I \quad (52)$$

$$= (a \wedge A_r) I \quad (53)$$

More generally if  $A_r$  and  $B_s$  are pure grade multivectors with  $r + s \leq n$  we have using equation 40 and 48

$$A_r \cdot (B_s I) = \langle A_r B_s I \rangle_{|r-(n-s)|} \quad (54)$$

$$= \langle A_r B_s I \rangle_{n-(r+s)} \quad (55)$$

$$= \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{r+s} I \quad (56)$$

$$= (A_r \wedge B_s) I \quad (57)$$

Finally we can relate  $I$  to  $I^\dagger$  by

$$I^\dagger = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} I \quad (58)$$

# Reciprocal Frames

Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  be a set of linearly independent vectors that span the vector space that are not necessarily orthogonal. These vectors define the frame (frame vectors are shown in bold face since they are almost always associated with a particular coordinate system) with volume element

$$E_n \equiv \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_n \quad (59)$$

So that  $E_n \propto I$ . The reciprocal frame is the set of vectors  $\mathbf{e}^1, \dots, \mathbf{e}^n$  that satisfy the relation

$$\mathbf{e}^i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = \delta_j^i, \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n \quad (60)$$

The  $\mathbf{e}^i$  are constructed as follows

$$\mathbf{e}^j = (-1)^{j-1} \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\mathbf{e}}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_n E_n^{-1} \quad (61)$$

So that the dot product is (using equation 53 since  $E_n^{-1} \propto I$ )

$$\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}^j = (-1)^{j-1} \mathbf{e}_i \cdot (\mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\mathbf{e}}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_n E_n^{-1}) \quad (62)$$

$$= (-1)^{j-1} (\mathbf{e}_i \wedge \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \check{\mathbf{e}}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_n) E_n^{-1} \quad (63)$$

$$= 0, \quad \forall i \neq j \quad (64)$$

and

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}^1 = \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_n E_n^{-1}) \quad (65)$$

$$= (\mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_n) E_n^{-1} \quad (66)$$

$$= 1 \quad (67)$$

# Coordinates

The reciprocal frame can be used to develop a coordinate representation for multivectors in an arbitrary frame  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  with reciprocal frame  $\mathbf{e}^1, \dots, \mathbf{e}^n$ .

Since both the frame and it's reciprocal span the base vector space we can write any vector  $a$  in the vector space as

$$a = a^i \mathbf{e}_i = a_i \mathbf{e}^i \quad (68)$$

where if an index such as  $i$  is repeated it is assumed that the terms with the repeated index will be summed from 1 to  $n$ . Using that  $\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}^j = \delta_i^j$  we have

$$a_i = a \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \quad (69)$$

$$a^i = a \cdot \mathbf{e}^i \quad (70)$$

In tensor notation  $a_i$  would be the covariant representation and  $a^i$  the contravariant representation of the vector  $a$ .

Now consider the case of grade 2 and grade 3 blades:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{e}^i \cdot (a \wedge b) &= a \cdot \mathbf{e}^i b - b \cdot \mathbf{e}^i a \\
 \mathbf{e}_i (a \cdot \mathbf{e}^i b - b \cdot \mathbf{e}^i a) &= ab - ba = 2a \wedge b \\
 \mathbf{e}^i \cdot (a \wedge b \wedge c) &= \\
 & a \cdot \mathbf{e}^i b \wedge c - b \cdot \mathbf{e}^i a \wedge c + c \cdot \mathbf{e}^i a \wedge b \\
 \mathbf{e}_i (a \cdot \mathbf{e}^i b \wedge c - b \cdot \mathbf{e}^i a \wedge c + c \cdot \mathbf{e}^i a \wedge b) &= \\
 & ab \wedge c - ba \wedge c + ca \wedge b = 3a \wedge b \wedge c
 \end{aligned}$$

for an  $r$ -blade  $A_r$  we have (the proof is left to the student)

$$\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}^i \cdot A_r = r A_r \tag{71}$$

Since  $\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}^i = n$  we have

$$\mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}^i \wedge A_r = \mathbf{e}_i (\mathbf{e}^i A_r - \mathbf{e}^i \cdot A_r) = (n - r) A_r \quad (72)$$

Flipping  $\mathbf{e}^i$  and  $A_r$  in equations 71 and 72 and subtracting equation 71 from 72 gives

$$\mathbf{e}_i A_r \mathbf{e}^i = (-1)^r (n - 2r) A_r \quad (73)$$

In Hestenes and Sobczyk (3.14) it is proved that

$$(\mathbf{e}^{k_r} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}^{k_1}) \cdot (\mathbf{e}_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_{j_r}) = \delta_{k_1}^{j_1} \delta_{k_2}^{j_2} \dots \delta_{k_r}^{j_r} \quad (74)$$

so that the general multivector  $A$  can be expanded in terms of the blades of the frame and reciprocal frame as

$$A = \sum_{i < j < \dots < k} A_{ij\dots k} \mathbf{e}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}^k \quad (75)$$

where

$$A_{ij\dots k} = (\mathbf{e}_k \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_j \wedge \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot A \quad (76)$$

The components  $A_{ij\dots k}$  are totally antisymmetric on all indices and are usually referred to as the components of an *antisymmetric tensor*.

# Linear Transformations

Let  $f$  be a linear transformation  $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  with  $f(\alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha f(a) + \beta f(b) \forall a, b \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{R}$ . Then define the action of  $f$  on a blade of the geometric algebra by

$$f(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_r) = f(a_1) \wedge \dots \wedge f(a_r) \quad (77)$$

and the action of  $f$  on any two  $A, B \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V})$  by

$$f(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha f(A) + \beta f(B) \quad (78)$$

Since any multivector  $A$  can be expanded as a sum of blades  $f(A)$  is defined. This has many consequences. Consider the following definition for the determinant of  $f$ ,  $\det(f)$ .

$$f(I) = \det(f) I \quad (79)$$

First show that this definition is equivalent to the standard definition of the determinant (again  $e_1, \dots, e_N$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{V}$ ).

$$f(e_r) = \sum_{s=1}^N a_{rs} e_s \quad (80)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(I) &= \left( \sum_{s_1=1}^N a_{1s_1} e_{s_1} \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left( \sum_{s_N=1}^N a_{Ns_N} e_{s_N} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_N} a_{1s_1} \dots a_{Ns_N} e_{s_1} \dots e_{s_N} \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

But

$$e_{s_1} \dots e_{s_N} = \varepsilon_{1\dots N}^{s_1 \dots s_N} e_1 \dots e_N \quad (82)$$

so that

$$f(I) = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_N} \varepsilon_{1\dots N}^{s_1 \dots s_N} a_{1s_1} \dots a_{Ns_N} I \quad (83)$$

or

$$\det(f) = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_N} \varepsilon_{1\dots N}^{s_1 \dots s_N} a_{1s_1} \dots a_{Ns_N} \quad (84)$$

which is the standard definition. Now compute the determinant of the product of the linear transformations  $f$  and  $g$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(fg) I &= fg(I) \\ &= f(g(I)) \\ &= f(\det(g) I) \\ &= \det(g) f(I) \\ &= \det(g) \det(f) I \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

or

$$\det (fg) = \det (f) \det (g) \quad (86)$$

Do you have any idea of how miserable that is to prove from the standard definition of determinant?

# Adjoint

If  $F$  is linear transformation and  $a$  and  $b$  are two arbitrary vectors the adjoint function,  $\overline{F}$ , is defined by

$$a \cdot \overline{F}(b) = b \cdot F(a) \quad (87)$$

From the definition the adjoint is also a linear transformation. For an arbitrary frame  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  we have

$$\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \overline{F}(a) = a \cdot F(\mathbf{e}_i) \quad (88)$$

So that we can explicitly construct the adjoint as

$$\overline{F}(a) = \mathbf{e}^i a \cdot F(\mathbf{e}_i) \quad (89)$$

$$= \mathbf{e}^i (F(\mathbf{e}_i) \cdot \mathbf{e}^j) a_j \quad (90)$$

so that  $\overline{F}_{ij} = F(\mathbf{e}_i) \cdot \mathbf{e}^j$  is the matrix representation of  $\overline{F}$  for the  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  frame. However

$$F(a) = \mathbf{e}^i (F(\mathbf{e}^j) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i) a_j \quad (91)$$

so that the matrix representation of  $F$  is  $F_{ij} = F(\mathbf{e}^j) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$ . If the  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$  are orthonormal then  $\mathbf{e}_j = \mathbf{e}^j$  for all  $j$  and  $\overline{F}_{ij} = F_{ji}$  exactly the same as the adjoint in matrices.

Other basic properties of the adjoint are:

$$\overline{\overline{F}}(a) = \mathbf{e}^i a \cdot \overline{F}(\mathbf{e}_i) = \mathbf{e}^i \mathbf{e}_i \cdot F(a) = F(a) \quad (92)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\overline{FG}}(a) &= \mathbf{e}^i a \cdot F(G(\mathbf{e}_i)) = \overline{F}(a) \cdot G(\mathbf{e}_i) \mathbf{e}^i \\ &= \overline{G}(\overline{F}(a)) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \mathbf{e}^i = \overline{G} \overline{F}(a) \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

so that  $\overline{\overline{F}} = F$  and  $\overline{\overline{FG}} = \overline{G} \overline{F}$ .

A symmetric function is one where  $F = \overline{\overline{F}}$ . As an example consider  $F\overline{F}$

$$\overline{\overline{F\overline{F}}} = \overline{\overline{F}}\overline{F} = F\overline{F} \quad (94)$$

# Inverse

Another linear algebraic relation in geometric algebra is

$$f^{-1}(A) = \frac{I \bar{f}(I^{-1}A)}{\det(f)} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{V}) \quad (95)$$

where  $\bar{f}$  is the adjoint transformation defined by  $a \cdot \bar{f}(b) = b \cdot f(a)$   $\forall a, b \in \mathcal{V}$  and you have an explicit formula for the inverse of a linear transformation!

# Quaternions

Any multivector  $A \in \mathcal{G}(3, 0)$  may be written as

$$A = \alpha + a + B + \beta I \quad (96)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{V}(3, 0)$ ,  $B$  is a bivector, and  $I$  is the unit pseudoscalar. The quaternions are the multivectors of even grades

$$A = \alpha + B \quad (97)$$

$B$  can be represented as

$$B = \alpha \mathbf{i} + \beta \mathbf{j} + \gamma \mathbf{k} \quad (98)$$

where  $\mathbf{i} = e_2e_3$ ,  $\mathbf{j} = e_1e_3$ , and  $\mathbf{k} = e_1e_2$ , and

$$\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{ijk} = -1. \quad (99)$$

The quaternions form a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{G}(3, 0)$  since the geometric product of any two quaternions is also a quaternion since the geometric product of two even grade multivector components is a even grade multivector. For example the product of two grade 2 multivectors can only consist of grades 0, 2, and 4, but in  $\mathcal{G}(3, 0)$  we can only have grades 0 and 2 since the highest possible grade is 3.

# Spinors

The general definition of a spinor is a multivector,  $\psi \in \mathcal{G}(p, q)$ , such that  $\psi v \psi^\dagger \in \mathcal{V}(p, q) \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}(p, q)$ . Practically speaking a spinor is the composition of a rotation and a dilation (stretching or shrinking) of a vector. Thus we can write

$$\psi v \psi^\dagger = \rho R v R^\dagger \quad (100)$$

where  $R$  is a rotor ( $R R^\dagger = 1$ ). Letting  $U = R^\dagger \psi$  we must solve

$$U v U^\dagger = \rho v \quad (101)$$

$U$  must generate a pure dilation. The most general form for  $U$  based on the fact that the l.h.s of equation 101 must be a vector is

$$U = \alpha + \beta I \quad (102)$$

so that

$$UvU^\dagger = \alpha^2 v + \alpha\beta (Iv + vI^\dagger) + \beta^2 IvI^\dagger = \rho v \quad (103)$$

Using  $vI^\dagger = (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}} Iv$ ,  $vI^\dagger = (-1)^{n-1} I^\dagger v$ , and  $II^\dagger = (-1)^q$  we get

$$\alpha^2 v + \alpha\beta \left( 1 + (-1)^{\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}} \right) Iv + (-1)^{n+q-1} \beta^2 v = \rho v \quad (104)$$

If  $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$  is even  $\beta = 0$  and  $\alpha \neq 0$ , otherwise  $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ . For the odd case

$$\psi = R(\alpha + \beta I) \quad (105)$$

where  $\rho = \alpha^2 + (-1)^{n+q-1} \beta^2$ . In the case of  $\mathcal{G}(1, 3)$  (relativistic space time) we have  $\rho = \alpha^2 + \beta^2$ ,  $\rho > 0$ .

# Geometric Algebra of the Minkowski Plane

Because of Relativity and QM the Geometric Algebra of the Minkowski Plane is very important for physical applications of Geometric Algebra so we will treat it in detail.

Let the orthonormal basis vectors for the plane be  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  where  $\gamma_0^2 = -\gamma_1^2 = 1$ .<sup>5</sup> Then the geometric product of two vectors  $a = a_0\gamma_0 + a_1\gamma_1$  and  $b = b_0\gamma_0 + b_1\gamma_1$  is

$$ab = (a_0\gamma_0 + a_1\gamma_1)(b_0\gamma_0 + b_1\gamma_1) \quad (106)$$

$$= a_0b_0\gamma_0^2 + a_1b_1\gamma_1^2 + (a_0b_1 - a_1b_0)\gamma_0\gamma_1 \quad (107)$$

$$= a_0b_0 - a_1b_1 + (a_0b_1 - a_1b_0)I \quad (108)$$

---

<sup>5</sup> $I = \gamma_0\gamma_1$

so that

$$a \cdot b = a_0 b_0 - a_1 b_1 \quad (109)$$

and

$$a \wedge b = (a_0 b_1 - a_1 b_0) I \quad (110)$$

and

$$I^2 = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_0 \gamma_1 = -\gamma_0^2 \gamma_1^2 = 1 \quad (111)$$

Thus

$$e^{\alpha I} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^i I^i}{i!} \quad (112)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2i}}{(2i)!} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2i+1} I}{(2i+1)!} \quad (113)$$

$$= \cosh(\alpha) + \sinh(\alpha) I \quad (114)$$

since  $I^{2i} = 1$ .

In the Minkowski plane all vectors of the form  $a_{\pm} = \alpha(\gamma_0 \pm \gamma_1)$  are null ( $a_{\pm}^2 = 0$ ). One question to answer are there any vectors  $b_{\pm}$  such that  $a_{\pm} \cdot b_{\pm} = 0$  that are not parallel to  $a_{\pm}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\pm} \cdot b_{\pm} &= \alpha (b_0^{\pm} \mp b_1^{\pm}) = 0 \\ b_0^{\pm} \mp b_1^{\pm} &= 0 \\ b_0^{\pm} &= \pm b_1^{\pm} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $b_{\pm}$  must be proportional to  $a_{\pm}$  and there are no vectors in the space that can be constructed that are normal to  $a_{\pm}$ . Thus there are no non-zero bivectors,  $a \wedge b$ , such that  $(a \wedge b)^2 = 0$ . Conversely, if  $a \wedge b \neq 0$  then  $(a \wedge b)^2 > 0$ .

Finally for the condition that there always exist two orthogonal vectors  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  such that

$$a \wedge b = e_1 e_2 \tag{115}$$

we can state that neither  $e_1$  nor  $e_2$  can be null.

# Lorentz Transformation

We now have all the tools needed to derive the Lorentz transformation with Geometric Algebra. Consider a two dimensional time-like plane with coordinates  $t^6$  and  $x_1$  and basis vectors  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$ . Then a general space-time vector in the plane is given by

$$x = t\gamma_0 + x_1\gamma_1 = t'\gamma'_0 + x'_1\gamma'_1 \quad (116)$$

where the basis vectors of the two coordinate systems are related by

$$\gamma'_\mu = R\gamma_\mu R^\dagger \quad \mu = 0, 1 \quad (117)$$

---

<sup>6</sup>We let the speed of light  $c = 1$ .

and  $R$  is a Minkowski plane rotor

$$R = \sinh\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + \cosh\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \gamma_1 \gamma_0 \quad (118)$$

so that

$$R\gamma_0R^\dagger = \cosh(\alpha) \gamma_0 + \sinh(\alpha) \gamma_1 \quad (119)$$

and

$$R\gamma_1R^\dagger = \cosh(\alpha) \gamma_1 + \sinh(\alpha) \gamma_0 \quad (120)$$

Now consider the special case that the primed coordinate system is moving with velocity  $\beta$  in the direction of  $\gamma_1$  and the two coordinate systems were coincident at time  $t = 0$ . Then  $x_1 = \beta t$  and  $x'_1 = 0$  so we may write

$$t\gamma_0 + \beta t\gamma_1 = t'R\gamma_0R^\dagger \quad (121)$$

$$\frac{t}{t'} (\gamma_0 + \beta\gamma_1) = \cosh(\alpha) \gamma_0 + \sinh(\alpha) \gamma_1 \quad (122)$$

Equating components gives

$$\cosh(\alpha) = \frac{t}{t'} \quad (123)$$

$$\sinh(\alpha) = \frac{t}{t'}\beta \quad (124)$$

Solving for  $\alpha$  and  $\frac{t}{t'}$  in equations 123 and 124 gives

$$\tanh(\alpha) = \beta \quad (125)$$

$$\frac{t}{t'} = \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta^2}} \quad (126)$$

Now consider the general case of  $x, t$  and  $x', t'$  giving

$$t\gamma_0 + x\gamma_1 = t'R\gamma_0R^\dagger + x'R\gamma_1R^\dagger \quad (127)$$

$$= t'\gamma(\gamma_0 + \beta\gamma_1) + x'\gamma(\gamma_1 + \beta\gamma_0) \quad (128)$$

Equating basis vector coefficients recovers the Lorentz transformation

$$\begin{aligned}t &= \gamma (t' + \beta x') \\x &= \gamma (x' + \beta t')\end{aligned}\tag{129}$$

# Commutator Product

The commutator product of two multivectors  $A$  and  $B$  is defined as

$$A \times B \equiv \frac{1}{2} (AB - BA) \quad (130)$$

An important theorem for the commutator product is that for a grade 2 multivector,  $A_2 = \langle A \rangle_2$ , and a grade  $r$  multivector  $B_r = \langle B \rangle_r$  we have

$$A_2 B_r = A_2 \wedge B_r + A_2 \times B_r + A_2 \cdot B_r \quad (131)$$

From the geometric product grade expansion for multivectors we have

$$A_2 B_r = \langle A_2 B_r \rangle_{r+2} + \langle A_2 B_r \rangle_r + \langle A_2 B_r \rangle_{|r-2|} \quad (132)$$

Thus we must show that

$$\langle A_2 B_r \rangle_r = A_2 \times B_r \quad (133)$$

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be an orthogonal set for the vector space where  $B_r = e_1 \dots e_r$  and  $A_2 = \sum_{l < m=2}^n \alpha_{lm} e_l e_m$  so we can write

$$A_2 \times B_r = \left( \sum_{l < m=2}^n \alpha_{lm} e_l e_m \right) \times (e_1 \dots e_r) \quad (134)$$

Now consider the following three cases

1.  $l$  and  $m > r$  where  $e_l e_m e_1 \dots e_r = e_1 \dots e_r e_l e_m$
2.  $l \leq r$  and  $m > r$  where  $e_l e_m e_1 \dots e_r = -e_1 \dots e_r e_l e_m$

3.  $l$  and  $m \leq r$  where  $e_l e_m e_1 \dots e_r = e_1 \dots e_r e_l e_m$

For case 1 and 3  $e_l e_m$  commute with  $B_r$  and the contribution to the commutator product is zero. In case 3  $e_l e_m$  anticommutes with  $B_r$  and thus are the only terms that contribute to the commutator. All these terms are of grade  $r$  and the theorem is proved.

Additionally, the commutator product obeys the Jacobi identity

$$A \times (B \times C) = (A \times B) \times C + B \times (A \times C) \quad (135)$$

This is important for the geometric algebra treatment of Lie groups and algebras.

# Differentiation

If  $F(a)$  is a multivector valued function of the vector  $a$ , and  $a$  and  $b$  are any vectors in the space then the derivative of  $F$  is defined by

$$b \cdot \nabla F \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(a + \epsilon b) - F(a)}{\epsilon} \quad (136)$$

then letting  $b = \mathbf{e}_k$  be the components of a coordinate frame with  $x = x^k \mathbf{e}_k$  (we are using the summation convention that the same upper and lower indicies are summed over 1 to  $N$ ) we have

$$\mathbf{e}_k \cdot \nabla F = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x^j \mathbf{e}_j + \epsilon \mathbf{e}_k) - F(x^j \mathbf{e}_j)}{\epsilon} \quad (137)$$

Using what we know about coordinates gives

$$\nabla F = \mathbf{e}^j \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^j} = \mathbf{e}^j \partial_j F \quad (138)$$

or looking at  $\nabla$  as a symbolic operator we may write

$$\nabla = \mathbf{e}^j \partial_j \quad (139)$$

Due to the properties of coordinate frame expansions  $\nabla F$  is independent of the choice of the  $\mathbf{e}_k$  frame. If we consider  $x$  to be a position vector then  $F(x)$  is in general a multivector field.

## Dervatives of Scalar Functions

If  $f(x)$  is scalar valued function of the vector  $x$  then the derivative is

$$\nabla f = \mathbf{e}^k \partial_k f \quad (140)$$

which is the standard definition of the gradient of a scalar function (remember that in an orthonormal coordinate system  $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{e}^k$ ).

Using equation 140 we can show the following results for the gradient of some specific scalar functions

$$\begin{aligned} f &= x \cdot a, & x^k, & xx \\ \nabla f &= a, & \mathbf{e}^k, & 2x \end{aligned} \quad (141)$$

## Product Rule

Let  $\circ$  represent a bilinear product operator such as the geometric, inner, or outer product and note that for the multivector fields  $F$  and  $G$  we have

$$\partial_k (F \circ G) = (\partial_k F) \circ G + F \circ (\partial_k G) \quad (142)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla (F \circ G) &= \mathbf{e}^k ((\partial_k F) \circ G + F \circ (\partial_k G)) \\ &= \mathbf{e}^k (\partial_k F) \circ G + \mathbf{e}^k F \circ (\partial_k G) \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

However since the geometric product is not commutative, in general

$$\nabla (F \circ G) \neq (\nabla F) \circ G + F \circ (\nabla G) \quad (144)$$

The notation adopted by Hestenes is

$$\nabla (F \circ G) = \nabla F \circ G + \dot{\nabla} F \circ \dot{G} \quad (145)$$

The convention of the overdot notation is

- i.* In the absence of brackets,  $\nabla$  acts on the object to its immediate right
- ii.* When the  $\nabla$  is followed by brackets, the derivative acts on all the terms in the brackets.
- iii.* When the  $\nabla$  acts on a multivector to which it is not adjacent, we use overdots to describe the scope.

Note that with the overdot notation the expression  $\dot{A}\dot{\nabla}$  makes sense!

## Interior and Exterior Derivative

The interior and exterior derivatives of an  $r$ -grade multivector field are simply defined as (don't forget the summation convention)

$$\nabla \cdot A_r \equiv \langle \nabla A_r \rangle_{r-1} = \mathbf{e}^k \cdot \partial_k A_r \quad (146)$$

and

$$\nabla \wedge A_r \equiv \langle \nabla A_r \rangle_{r+1} = \mathbf{e}^k \wedge \partial_k A_r \quad (147)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \wedge (\nabla \wedge A_r) &= \mathbf{e}^i \partial_i (\mathbf{e}^j \wedge \partial_j A_r) \\ &= \mathbf{e}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j \wedge (\partial_i \partial_j A_r) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

since  $\mathbf{e}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j = -\mathbf{e}^j \wedge \mathbf{e}^i$ , but  $\partial_i \partial_j A_r = \partial_j \partial_i A_r$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot (\nabla \cdot A_r) &= \mathbf{e}^i \cdot \partial_i (\mathbf{e}^j \cdot \partial_j A_r) \\
&= \mathbf{e}^i \cdot (\mathbf{e}^j \cdot (\partial_i \partial_j A_r)) \\
&= \pm \mathbf{e}^i \cdot (\mathbf{e}^j \cdot (\partial_i \partial_j A_r^* I)) \\
&= \pm \mathbf{e}^i \cdot ((\mathbf{e}^j \wedge (\partial_i \partial_j A_r^*)) I) \\
&= \pm (\mathbf{e}^i \wedge (\mathbf{e}^j \wedge (\partial_i \partial_j A_r^*))) I \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{149}$$

Where  $*$  indicates the dual of a multivector,  $A^* = AI$  ( $I$  is the pseudoscalar and  $A = \pm A^* I$  since  $I^2 = \pm 1$ ), and we use equation 53 to exchange the inner and outer products.

Thus for the general multivector field  $A$  (built from sums of  $A_r$ 's) we have  $\nabla \wedge (\nabla \wedge A) = 0$  and  $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \cdot A) = 0$ . If  $\phi$  is a scalar function we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \wedge (\nabla \phi) &= \mathbf{e}^i \wedge \partial_i (\mathbf{e}^j \partial_j \phi) \\ &= \mathbf{e}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j \partial_i \partial_j \phi \\ &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{150}$$

Another use for the overdot notation would in the case where  $f(x, a)$  is a linear function of its second argument ( $f(x, \alpha a + \beta b) = \alpha f(x, a) + \beta f(x, b)$ ) and  $a$  is a general function of position ( $a(x) = a^i(x) \mathbf{e}_i$ ). Now calculate

$$\nabla f(x, a) = \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} f(x, a) = \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} f(x, a^i(x) \mathbf{e}_i) \quad (151)$$

$$= \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} (a^i(x) f(x, \mathbf{e}_i)) \quad (152)$$

$$= \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial a^i}{\partial x^k} f(x, \mathbf{e}_i) + a^i \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} f(x, \mathbf{e}_i) \quad (153)$$

$$= \mathbf{e}^k f\left(x, \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^k}\right) + a^i \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} f(x, \mathbf{e}_i) \quad (154)$$

Defining

$$\dot{\nabla} f(a) \equiv a^i \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} f(x, \mathbf{e}_i) = \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} f(x, a) \Big|_{a=\text{constant}} \quad (155)$$

Then supressing the explicit  $x$  dependence of  $f$  we get

$$\dot{\nabla} f(a) = \nabla f(a) - \mathbf{e}^k f \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^k} \right) \quad (156)$$

Other basic results (examples) are

$$\nabla x \cdot A_r = r A_r \quad (157)$$

$$\nabla x \wedge A_r = (n - r) A_r \quad (158)$$

$$\dot{\nabla} A_r \dot{x} = (-1)^r (n - 2r) A_r \quad (159)$$

The basic identities for the case of a scalar field  $\alpha$  and multivector field  $F$  are

$$\nabla (\alpha F) = (\nabla \alpha) F + \alpha \nabla F \quad (160)$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\alpha F) = (\nabla \alpha) \cdot F + \alpha \nabla \cdot F \quad (161)$$

$$\nabla \wedge (\alpha F) = (\nabla \alpha) \wedge F + \alpha \nabla \wedge F \quad (162)$$

if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are vector fields

$$\nabla \wedge (f_1 \wedge f_2) = (\nabla \wedge f_1) \wedge f_2 - (\nabla \wedge f_2) \wedge f_1 \quad (163)$$

and finally if  $F_r$  is a grade  $r$  multivector field

$$\nabla \cdot (F_r I) = (\nabla \wedge F_r) I \quad (164)$$

where  $I$  is the psuedoscalar for the geometric algebra.

# Geometric Derivative of a Multivector Function

For a vector space of dimension  $N$  spanned by the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_i$  the coordinates of a vector  $x$  are the  $x^i = x \cdot \mathbf{u}^i$  so that  $x = x^i \mathbf{u}_i$  (summation convention is from 1 to  $N$ ).

Curvilinear coordinates for that space are generated by a one to one invertible differentiable mapping from  $(x^1, \dots, x^N) \leftrightarrow (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^N)$  where the  $\theta^i$  are called the curvilinear coordinates.

If the mapping is given by  $x(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^N) = x^i(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^N) \mathbf{u}_i$  then the basis vectors associated with the transformation are given by

$$\mathbf{e}_k = \frac{\partial x}{\partial \theta^k} = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \theta^k} \mathbf{u}_i \quad (165)$$

The one critical relationship that is required to express the geometric

derivative in curvilinear coordinated is

$$\mathbf{e}^k = \frac{\partial \theta^k}{\partial x^i} \mathbf{u}^i \quad (166)$$

The proof is

$$\mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}^k = \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \theta^j} \frac{\partial \theta^k}{\partial x^n} \mathbf{u}_m \cdot \mathbf{u}^n \quad (167)$$

$$= \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \theta^j} \frac{\partial \theta^k}{\partial x^n} \delta_m^n \quad (168)$$

$$= \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial \theta^j} \frac{\partial \theta^k}{\partial x^m} \quad (169)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \theta^k}{\partial \theta^j} = \delta_j^k \quad (170)$$

We wish to express the geometric derivative of an  $R$ -grade multivector

field  $F_R$  in terms of the curvilinear coordinates. Thus

$$\nabla F_R = \mathbf{u}^i \frac{\partial F_R}{\partial x^i} = \left( \mathbf{u}^i \frac{\partial \theta^k}{\partial x^i} \right) \frac{\partial F_R}{\partial \theta^k} = \mathbf{e}^k \frac{\partial F_R}{\partial \theta^k} \quad (171)$$

Note that if we start by defining the  $\mathbf{e}_k$ 's the reciprocal frame vectors  $\mathbf{e}^k$  can be calculated geometrically (we do not need the inverse partial derivatives).

Now define a new blade symbol by

$$\mathbf{e}_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} = \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{e}_{i_R} \quad (172)$$

and represent an  $R$ -grade multivector function  $F$  by

$$F = F^{i_1 \dots i_R} \mathbf{e}_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} \quad (173)$$

Then

$$\nabla F = \frac{\partial F^{i_1 \dots i_R}}{\partial \theta^k} e^k e_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} + F^{i_1 \dots i_R} e^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^k} e_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} \quad (174)$$

Define

$$C \{e_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]}\} \equiv e^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^k} e_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} \quad (175)$$

Where  $C \{e_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]}\}$  are the connection multivectors for each base of the geometric algebra and we can write

$$\nabla F = \frac{\partial F^{i_1 \dots i_R}}{\partial \theta^k} e^k e_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} + F^{i_1 \dots i_R} C \{e_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]}\} \quad (176)$$

Note that all the quantities in the equation not dependent upon the  $F^{i_1 \dots i_R}$  can be directly calculated if the  $e_k(\theta^1, \dots, \theta^N)$  is known so further simplification is not needed.

In general the  $\mathbf{e}_k$ 's we have defined are not normalized so define

$$|\mathbf{e}_k| = \sqrt{|\mathbf{e}_k^2|} \quad (177)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k = \frac{\mathbf{e}_k}{|\mathbf{e}_k|} \quad (178)$$

and note that  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_k^2 = \pm 1$  depending upon the metric. Note also that

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}^k = |\mathbf{e}_k| \mathbf{e}^k \quad (179)$$

since

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}^j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_k = (|\mathbf{e}_j| \mathbf{e}^j) \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{e}_k}{|\mathbf{e}_k|} \right) = \delta_k^j \frac{|\mathbf{e}_j|}{|\mathbf{e}_k|} = \delta_k^j \quad (180)$$

so that if  $F_R$  is represented in terms of the normalized basis vectors we have

$$F_R = F_R^{i_1 \dots i_R} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} \quad (181)$$

and the geometric derivative is now

$$\nabla F = \frac{\partial F^{i_1 \dots i_R}}{\partial \theta^k} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}^k}{|\mathbf{e}_k|} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} + F^{i_1 \dots i_R} \hat{C} \{ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} \} \quad (182)$$

and

$$\hat{C} \{ \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} \} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{e}}^k}{|\mathbf{e}_k|} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^k} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{[i_1, \dots, i_R]} \quad (183)$$

## Example: Spherical Coordinates

For spherical coordinates the coordinate generating function is:

$$\mathbf{x} = r (\sin(\theta) \mathbf{u}_z + \cos(\theta) (\cos(\phi) \mathbf{u}_x + \sin(\phi) \mathbf{u}_y)) \quad (184)$$

so that

$$\mathbf{e}_r = \cos(\theta) (\cos(\phi) \mathbf{u}_x + \sin(\phi) \mathbf{u}_y) + \sin(\theta) \mathbf{u}_z \quad (185)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_\theta = r (-\sin(\theta) (\cos(\phi) \mathbf{u}_x + \sin(\phi) \mathbf{u}_y) + \cos(\theta) \mathbf{u}_z) \quad (186)$$

$$\mathbf{e}_\phi = r \cos(\theta) (-\sin(\phi) \mathbf{u}_x + \cos(\phi) \mathbf{u}_y) \quad (187)$$

where

$$|\mathbf{e}_r| = 1 \quad |\mathbf{e}_\theta| = r \quad |\mathbf{e}_\phi| = r \cos(\theta) \quad (188)$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r = \cos(\theta) (\cos(\phi) \mathbf{u}_x + \sin(\phi) \mathbf{u}_y) + \sin(\theta) \mathbf{u}_z \quad (189)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta = -\sin(\theta) (\cos(\phi) \mathbf{u}_x + \sin(\phi) \mathbf{u}_y) + \cos(\theta) \mathbf{u}_z \quad (190)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi = -\sin(\phi) \mathbf{u}_x + \cos(\phi) \mathbf{u}_y \quad (191)$$

the connection multivectors for the normalize basis vectors are

$$\hat{C} \{ \hat{e}_r \} = \frac{2}{r} \quad (192)$$

$$\hat{C} \{ \hat{e}_\theta \} = \frac{\cos(\theta)}{r \sin(\theta)} + \frac{1}{r} \hat{e}_r \wedge \hat{e}_\theta \quad (193)$$

$$\hat{C} \{ \hat{e}_\phi \} = \frac{1}{r} \hat{e}_r \wedge \hat{e}_\phi + \frac{\cos(\theta)}{r \sin(\theta)} \hat{e}_\theta \wedge \hat{e}_\phi \quad (194)$$

$$\hat{C} \{ \hat{e}_r \wedge \hat{e}_\theta \} = -\frac{\cos(\theta)}{r \sin(\theta)} \hat{e}_r + \frac{1}{r} \hat{e}_\theta \quad (195)$$

$$\hat{C} \{ \hat{e}_r \wedge \hat{e}_\phi \} = \frac{1}{r} \hat{e}_\phi - \frac{\cos(\theta)}{r \sin(\theta)} \hat{e}_r \wedge \hat{e}_\theta \wedge \hat{e}_\phi \quad (196)$$

$$\hat{C} \{ \hat{e}_\theta \wedge \hat{e}_\phi \} = \frac{2}{r} \hat{e}_r \wedge \hat{e}_\theta \wedge \hat{e}_\phi \quad (197)$$

$$\hat{C} \{ \hat{e}_r \wedge \hat{e}_\theta \wedge \hat{e}_\phi \} = 0 \quad (198)$$

For a vector function  $A$  using equation 176 and that  $\nabla A = \nabla \cdot A + \nabla \wedge A$

$$\nabla \cdot A = \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} (A^\theta \cos(\theta) + \partial_\phi A^\phi) + \frac{1}{r} (2A^r + \partial_\theta A^\theta) + \partial_r A^r \quad (199)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r (r^2 A^r) + \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} (\partial_\theta (\sin(\theta) A^\theta) + \partial_\phi A^\phi) \quad (200)$$

$$\nabla \times A = -I (\nabla \wedge A) \quad (201)$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial_\theta A^\phi}{r} + \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} (A^\phi \cos(\theta) - \partial_\phi A^\theta) \right) \hat{e}_r \quad (202)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{\partial_\phi A^r}{r \sin(\theta)} - \frac{A^\phi}{r} - \partial_r A^\phi \right) \hat{e}_\theta \quad (203)$$

$$+ \left( \frac{A^\theta}{r} + \partial_r A^\theta - \frac{\partial_\theta A^r}{r} \right) \hat{e}_\phi \quad (204)$$

$$\nabla \times A = \frac{1}{r \sin(\theta)} (\partial_\theta (\sin(\theta) A^\phi) - \partial_\phi A^\theta) \hat{e}_r \quad (205)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} \partial_\phi A^r - \partial_r (r A^\phi) \right) \hat{e}_\theta \quad (206)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r} (\partial_r (r A^\theta) - \partial_\theta A^r) \hat{e}_\phi \quad (207)$$

These are the standard formulas for div and curl in spherical coordinates.

# Analytic Functions

Starting with  $\mathcal{G}(2, 0)$  and orthonormal basis vectors  $\mathbf{e}_x$  and  $\mathbf{e}_y$  so that  $I = \mathbf{e}_x \mathbf{e}_y$  and  $I^2 = -1$ . Then we have

$$\mathbf{r} = x\mathbf{e}_x + y\mathbf{e}_y \quad (208)$$

$$\nabla = \mathbf{e}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \mathbf{e}_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad (209)$$

Map  $\mathbf{r}$  onto the complex number  $z$  via

$$z = x + Iy = \mathbf{e}_x \mathbf{r} \quad (210)$$

Define the multivector field  $\psi = u + Iv$  where  $u$  and  $v$  are scalar fields. Then

$$\nabla \psi = \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) \mathbf{e}_x + \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \mathbf{e}_y \quad (211)$$

Thus the statement that  $\psi$  is an analytic function is equivalent to

$$\nabla\psi = 0 \quad (212)$$

This is the fundamental equation that can be generalized to higher dimensions remembering that in general that  $\psi$  is a multivector rather than a scalar function!

To complete the connection with complex analysis we define ( $z^\dagger = x - Iy$ )

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - I \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z^\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + I \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \quad (213)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} &= 1, & \frac{\partial z^\dagger}{\partial z} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial z}{\partial z^\dagger} &= 0, & \frac{\partial z^\dagger}{\partial z^\dagger} &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (214)$$

An analytic function is one that depends on  $z$  alone so that we can write  $\psi(x + Iy) = \psi(z)$  and

$$\frac{\partial \psi(z)}{\partial z^\dagger} = 0 \quad (215)$$

equivalently

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + I \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \psi = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_x \nabla \psi = 0 \quad (216)$$

Now it is simple to show why solutions to  $\nabla \psi = 0$  can be written as a power series in  $z$ . First

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla z &= \nabla (\mathbf{e}_x \mathbf{r}) \\ &= \mathbf{e}_x \mathbf{e}_x \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial x} + \mathbf{e}_y \mathbf{e}_x \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial y} \\ &= \mathbf{e}_x \mathbf{e}_x \mathbf{e}_x + \mathbf{e}_y \mathbf{e}_x \mathbf{e}_y \\ &= \mathbf{e}_x - \mathbf{e}_x \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (217)$$

so that

$$\nabla (z - z_0)^k = k \nabla (\mathbf{e}_x \mathbf{r} - z_0) (z - z_0)^{k-1} = 0 \quad (218)$$

## Directed Integration - Line Integrals

If  $F(x)$  is a multivector field and  $x(\lambda)$  is a parametric representation of a vector path (curve) then the line Integral of  $F$  along the path  $x$  is defined to be

$$\int F(x) \frac{dx}{d\lambda} d\lambda = \int F dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{F}^i \Delta x^i \quad (219)$$

where

$$\Delta x^i = x_i - x_{i-1}, \quad \bar{F}^i = \frac{1}{2} (F(x_{i-1}) + F(x_i)) \quad (220)$$

if  $x_n = x_1$  the path is closed. Since  $dx$  is a vector, that is  $F(x) \frac{dx}{d\lambda} \neq \frac{dx}{d\lambda} F(x)$ , a more general line integral would be

$$\int F(x) \frac{dx}{d\lambda} G(x) d\lambda = \int F(x) dx G(x) \quad (221)$$

The most general form of line integral would be

$$\int \mathbf{L}(\partial_\lambda x; x) d\lambda = \int \mathbf{L}(dx) \quad (222)$$

where  $\mathbf{L}(a) = \mathbf{L}(a; x)$  is a multivector-valued linear function of  $a$ . The position dependence in  $\mathbf{L}$  can often be suppressed to streamline the notation.

## Directed Integration - Surface Integrals

The next step is a directed surface integral. Let  $F(x)$  be a multivector field and let a surface be parametrized by two coordinates  $x(x^1, x^2)$ . Then we can define a directed surface measure by


$$dX = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^2} dx^1 dx^2 = \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 dx^1 dx^2 \quad (223)$$

A directed surface integral takes the form

$$\int F dX = \int F \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 dx^1 dx^2 \quad (224)$$


In order to construct some of the more important proof it is necessary to express the surface integral as the limit of a sum. This requires the

concept of a triangulated surface as shown



### Triangulated Surface

Each triangle in the surface is described by a planar simplex as shown



### Planar Simplex

The three vertices of the planar simplex are  $x_0$ ,  $x_1$ , and  $x_2$  with the vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1$  and  $\mathbf{e}_2$  defined by

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = x_1 - x_0, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = x_2 - x_0 \quad (225)$$

so that the surface measure of the simplex is

$$\Delta X \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{e}_1 \wedge \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{1}{2} (x_1 \wedge x_2 + x_2 \wedge x_0 + x_0 \wedge x_1) \quad (226)$$

with this definition of  $\Delta X$  we have

$$\int F dX = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{F}^k \Delta X^k \quad (227)$$

where  $\bar{F}^k$  is the average of  $F$  over the  $k^{th}$  simplex.

# Directed Integration - $n$ -dimensional Surfaces

## $k$ -Simplex Definition

In geometry, a simplex or  $k$ -simplex is an  $k$ -dimensional analogue of a triangle. Specifically, a simplex is the convex hull of a set of  $(k + 1)$  affinely independent points in some Euclidean space of dimension  $k$  or higher.

For example, a 0-simplex is a point, a 1-simplex is a line segment, a 2-simplex is a triangle, a 3-simplex is a tetrahedron, and a 4-simplex is a pentachoron (in each case with interior).

A regular simplex is a simplex that is also a regular polytope. A regular  $k$ -simplex may be constructed from a regular  $(k - 1)$ -simplex by connecting a new vertex to all original vertices by the common edge length.

## **$k$ -Chain Definition (Algebraic Topology)**

A finite set of  $k$ -simplexes embedded in an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is called an affine  $k$ -chain. The simplexes in a chain need not be unique; they may occur with multiplicity. Rather than using standard set notation to denote an affine chain, it is instead the standard practice to use plus signs to separate each member in the set. If some of the simplexes have the opposite orientation, these are prefixed by a minus sign. If some of the simplexes occur in the set more than once, these are prefixed with an integer count. Thus, an affine chain takes the symbolic form of a sum with integer coefficients.

## **Simplex Notation**

If  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$  is the  $k$ -simplex defined by the  $k + 1$  points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k$ . This is abbreviated by

$$(x)_{(k)} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (228)$$

The order of the points is important for a simplex, since it specifies the orientation of the simplex. If any two adjacent points are swapped the simplex orientation changes sign. The boundary operator for the simplex is denoted by  $\partial$  and defined by

$$\partial (x)_{(k)} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i (x_0, \dots, \check{x}_i, \dots, x_k)_{(k-1)} \quad (229)$$

To see that this make sense consider a triangle  $(x)_{(3)} = (x_0, x_1, x_2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial (x)_{(3)} &= (x_1, x_2)_{(2)} - (x_0, x_2)_{(2)} + (x_0, x_1)_{(2)} \\ &= (x_1, x_2)_{(2)} + (x_2, x_0)_{(2)} + (x_0, x_1)_{(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (230)$$

each 2-simplex in the boundary 2-chain connects head to tail with the same sign.

Now consider the boundary of the boundary

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial^2 (x)_{(3)} &= \partial (x_1, x_2)_{(2)} + \partial (x_2, x_0)_{(2)} + \partial (x_0, x_1)_{(2)} \\
 &= (x_1)_{(1)} - (x_2)_{(1)} + (x_2)_{(1)} - (x_0)_{(1)} + (x_0)_{(1)} - (x_1)_{(1)} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{231}$$

What we need to prove is that in general  $\partial^2 (x)_{(k)} = 0$ . To do this consider the boundary of the  $i^{th}$  term on the r.h.s. of equation 229 letting  $A_{ij}^{(k-2)} = (x_0, \dots, \check{x}_i, \dots, \check{x}_j, \dots, x_k)_{(k-1)}$ , noting

Then

$$\partial (x_0, \dots, \check{x}_i, \dots, x_k)_{(k-1)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i = 0 : \quad \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} A_{ij}^{(k-2)} \\ 0 < i < k : \quad \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j A_{ij}^{(k-2)} + \sum_{j=i+1}^k (-1)^{j-1} A_{ij}^{(k-2)} \\ i = k : \quad \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j A_{ij}^{(k-2)} \end{array} \right\} \quad (232)$$

The critical point in equation 232 is that the exponent of  $-1$  in the second term on the r.h.s. is not  $j$ , but  $j - 1$ . The reason for this is that when  $x_i$  was removed from the simplex the vertices were **not** renumbered. We can now express the boundary of the boundary in terms of the following

matrix elements  $(B_{ij}^{(k-2)} = (-1)^{i+j} A_{ij}^{(k-2)})$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial^2 (x)_{(k)} &= \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j-1} A_{0j}^{(k-2)} + (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j A_{kj}^{(k-2)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j A_{ij}^{(k-2)} + \sum_{j=i+1}^k (-1)^{j-1} A_{ij}^{(k-2)} \right) \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^k B_{0j}^{(k-2)} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B_{kj}^{(k-2)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} B_{ij}^{(k-2)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^k B_{ij}^{(k-2)} = 0 \tag{233}
\end{aligned}$$

The consider  $B_{ij}^{(k-2)}$  as a matrix ( $i$ -row index,  $j$ -column index). The

matrix is symmetrical and in equation 233 you are subtracting all the elements above the main diagonal from the elements below the main diagonal so that  $\partial^2(x)_{(k)} = 0$  and the boundary of a boundary of a simplex is zero.

Now add geometry to the simplex by defining the vectors

$$e_i = x_i - x_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad (234)$$

and the directed volume element

$$\Delta X = \frac{1}{k!} e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_k \quad (235)$$

We now wish to prove that

$$\int_{(x)_{(k)}} dX = \Delta X \quad (236)$$

Any point in the simplex can be written in terms of the coordinates  $\lambda^i$  as

$$x = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^i e_i \quad (237)$$

with restrictions

$$0 \leq \lambda^i \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^i \leq 1 \quad (238)$$

First we show that

$$\int_{(x)_{(k)}} dX = \int_{(x)_{(k)}} e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k d\lambda^1 \cdots d\lambda^k = \Delta X \quad (239)$$

or

$$\int_{(x)_{(k)}} d\lambda^1 \cdots d\lambda^k = \frac{1}{k!} \quad (240)$$

define  $\Lambda_j = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^j \lambda^i$ . From the restrictions on the  $\lambda^i$ 's we have

$$\int_{(x)_{(k)}} d\lambda^1 \cdots d\lambda^k = \int_0^{\Lambda_0} d\lambda^1 \int_0^{\Lambda_1} d\lambda^2 \cdots \int_0^{\Lambda_{k-1}} d\lambda^k \quad (241)$$

To prove that the r.h.s of equation 241 is  $1/k!$  we form the following sequence and use induction to prove that  $V_j$  is the result of the first  $j$  partial Integrations of equation 241

$$V_j = \frac{1}{j!} (\Lambda_{k-j})^j \quad (242)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{j+1} &= \int_0^{\Lambda_{k-j-1}} d\lambda^{k-j} V_j \\
&= \int_0^{\Lambda_{k-j-1}} d\lambda^{k-j} \frac{1}{j!} (\Lambda_{k-j-1} - \lambda^{k-j})^j \\
&= \frac{-1}{(j+1)j!} \left[ (\Lambda_{k-j-1} - \lambda^{k-j})^{j+1} \right]_0^{\Lambda_{k-j-1}} \\
&= \frac{1}{(j+1)!} (\Lambda_{k-j-1})^{j+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{243}$$

so that  $V_k = 1/k!$  and the assertion is proved. Now let there be a multivector field  $F(x)$  that assumes the values  $F_i = F(x_i)$  at the

vectices of the simplex and define the interpolating function

$$f(x) = F_0 + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^i (F_i - F_0) \quad (244)$$

We now wish to show that

$$\int_{(x)_{(k)}} f dX = \frac{1}{k+1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^k F_i \right) \Delta X = \bar{F} dX \quad (245)$$

To prove this we must show that

$$\int_{(x)_{(k)}} \lambda^i dX = \frac{1}{k+1} \Delta X, \quad \forall \lambda^i \quad (246)$$

To do this consider the integral

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{\Lambda_{k-j-1}} d\lambda^{k-j} \lambda^{k-j} V_j &= \int_0^{\Lambda_{k-j-1}} d\lambda^{k-j} \frac{1}{j!} \lambda^{k-j} (\Lambda_{k-j-1} - \lambda^{k-j})^j \\
 &= \frac{1}{(j+2)!} (\Lambda_{k-j-1})^{j+2}
 \end{aligned} \tag{247}$$

Note that since the extra  $\lambda^i$  factor occurs in exactly one of the subintegrals for each different  $\lambda^i$  the final result of the total integral is multiplied by a factor of  $\frac{1}{(k+1)}$  since the weight of the total integral is now  $\frac{1}{(k+1)!}$  and the assertion (equation 246 and hence equation 245) is proved.

Now summing over all the simplices making up the directed volume gives

$$\int_{\text{volume}} F dX = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{F}^i \Delta X^i \tag{248}$$

The most general statement of equation 248 is

$$\int_{\text{volume}} L(dX) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{L}^i(\Delta X^i) \quad (249)$$

where  $L(F_n; x)$  is a position dependent linear function of a grade- $n$  multivector  $F_n$  and  $\bar{L}^i$  is the average value of  $L(dX)$  over the vertices of each simplex.

# Directed Integration - The Fundamental Theorem of Geometric Calculus

We now must prove that the directed measure of a simplex boundary is zero

$$\Delta \left( \partial (x)_{(k)} \right) = 0 \quad (250)$$

Start with a planar simplex of three points

$$\partial (x_0, x_1, x_2)_{(3)} = (x_1, x_2)_{(2)} - (x_0, x_2)_{(2)} + (x_0, x_1)_{(2)} \quad (251)$$

so that

$$\Delta \left( \partial (x_0, x_1, x_2)_{(3)} \right) = (x_2 - x_1) - (x_2 - x_0) + (x_1 - x_0) = 0 \quad (252)$$

We shall now prove equation 250 via induction. First note that

$$\Delta (\check{x}_i)_{(k-1)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i = 0 : \quad \frac{1}{k-1} \Delta (\check{x}_0)_{(k-2)} \wedge (x_k - x_1) \\ 0 < i \leq k-1 : \quad \frac{1}{k-1} \Delta (\check{x}_i)_{(k-2)} \wedge (x_k - x_0) \end{array} \right\} \quad (253)$$

so that

$$\Delta (\partial (x)_{(k)}) = \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^i \Delta (\check{x}_i)_{(k-2)} \wedge (x_k - x_0) + \mathcal{C}$$

where

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{k-1} \Delta (\check{x}_0)_{(k-2)} \wedge (x_k - x_1) + (-1)^k \Delta (\check{x}_k)_{(k-1)} \quad (254)$$

if we let  $\delta = x_0 - x_1$  we can write

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{k-1} \Delta(\check{x}_0)_{(k-2)} \wedge (x_k - x_0) + \frac{1}{k-1} \Delta(\check{x}_0)_{(k-2)} \wedge \delta + (-1)^k \Delta(\check{x}_k)_{(k-1)} \quad (255)$$

However

$$(-1)^k \Delta(\check{x}_k)_{(k-1)} = \frac{-1}{k-1} \Delta(\check{x}_0)_{(k-2)} \wedge \delta \quad (256)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{k-1} \Delta(\check{x}_0)_{(k-2)} \wedge (x_k - x_1) \quad (257)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\left(\partial(x)_{(k)}\right) &= \frac{1}{k-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (-1)^i \Delta(\check{x}_i)_{(k-2)} \right) \wedge (x_k - x_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{k-1} \left( \Delta\left(\partial(x)_{(k-1)}\right) \right) \wedge (x_k - x_0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (258)$$

We have proved equation 250. Note that to reduce equation 257 we had to use that for any set of vectors  $\delta, y_1, \dots, y_k$  we have

$$\delta \wedge (y_1 + \delta) \wedge \dots \wedge (y_k + \delta) = \delta \wedge y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge y_k \quad (259)$$

Think about equation 259. It's easy to prove!

Equation 250 is sufficient to prove that the directed integral over the surface of simplex is zero

$$\oint_{\partial(x)_{(k)}} dS = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \int_{(\check{x}_i)_{(k-1)}} dX = \Delta \left( \partial(x)_{(k)} \right) = 0 \quad (260)$$

The characteristics of a general volume are:

1. A general volume is built up from a chain of simplicies.

2. Simplices in the chain are defined so that at any common boundary the directed areas of the bounding faces are equal and opposite.
3. Surface integrals over two simplices cancel over their common face.
4. The surface integral over the boundary of the volume can be replaced by the sum of the surface integrals over each simplex in the chain.

If the boundary of the volume is closed we have

$$\oint dS = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{a=1}^n \oint dS^a = 0 \quad (261)$$

Where  $\oint dS^a$  is the surface Integral over the  $a^{th}$  simplex. Implicit in equation 261 is that the surface is orientated, simply connected, and closed.

The next lemma to prove that if  $b$  is a constant vector on the simplex  $(x)_{(k)}$  then

$$\oint_{\partial(x)_{(k)}} b \cdot x \, dS = b \cdot \Delta \left( (x)_{(k)} \right) = b \cdot \Delta X \quad (262)$$

The starting point of the lemma is equation 246. First define  $b = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i e^i$ , where the  $e^i$ 's are the reciprocal frame to  $e_i = x_i - x_0$  so that  $x - x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^i e_i$ ,  $b_i = b \cdot e_i$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^i = b \cdot (x - x_0)$ . Using equation 246 we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \int_{(x)_{(k)}} b_i \lambda^i \, dX = \int_{(x)_{(k)}} b \cdot (x - x_0) \, dX = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k b \cdot e_i \, \Delta X \quad (263)$$

Rearranging terms gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{(x)_{(k)}} b \cdot x \, dX &= \frac{1}{k+1} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^k b \cdot (x_i - x_0) \right) + (k+1) b \cdot x_0 \right) \Delta X \\
 &= \frac{b}{k+1} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^k x_i \right) \Delta X \\
 &= b \cdot \bar{x} \Delta X
 \end{aligned} \tag{264}$$

Now using the definition of a simplex boundary (equation 229) and equation 264 we get

$$\oint_{\partial(x)_{(k)}} b \cdot x \, dS = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i b \cdot (x_0 + \cdots + \check{x}_i + \cdots + x_k) \Delta \left( (\check{x}_i)_{(k-1)} \right) \tag{265}$$

The coefficient multiplying the r.h.s. of equation 265 is  $\frac{1}{k}$  and not  $\frac{1}{k+1}$  because both  $(x_0 + \cdots + \check{x}_i + \cdots + x_k)$  and  $(\check{x}_i)_{(k-1)}$  refer to  $k-1$  simplices (the boundary of  $(x)_{(k)}$  is the sum of all the simplices  $(\check{x}_i)_{(k)}$  with proper sign assigned).

Now to prove equation 262 we need to prove one final purely algebraic lemma

$$\sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i b \cdot (x_0 + \cdots + \check{x}_i + \cdots + x_k) \Delta (\check{x}_i)_{(k-1)} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} b \cdot (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k) \quad (266)$$

Begin with the definition of the l.h.s. of equation 266

$$C = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i b \cdot (x_0 + \cdots + \check{x}_i + \cdots + x_k) \Delta (\check{x}_i)_{(k-1)} = \sum_{i=0}^k C_i \quad (267)$$

where  $C_i$  is defined by

$$C_i = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i = 0 : \quad b \cdot (x_1 + \cdots + x_k) \Delta (\check{x}_0)_{(k-1)} \\ 0 < i \leq k : \quad (-1)^i b \cdot (x_0 + \cdots + \check{x}_i + \cdots + x_k) \Delta (\check{x}_i)_{(k-1)} \end{array} \right\} \quad (268)$$

now define  $E_n = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$  so that (using equation 61 from the section on reciprocal frames)

$$(-1)^{i-1} e^i E_k = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k, \quad \forall 0 < i \leq k \quad (269)$$

and

$$C_{0 < i \leq k} = \frac{-1}{(k-1)!} b \cdot (x_0 + \cdots + \check{x}_i + \cdots + x_k) e^i E_k \quad (270)$$

The main problem is in evaluating  $C_0$  since

$$(\check{x}_0)_{(k-1)} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} (x_2 - x_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (x_k - x_1) \quad (271)$$

using  $e_i = x_i - x_0$  reduces equation 271 to

$$(\check{x}_0)_{(k-1)} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} (e_2 - e_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_k - e_1) \quad (272)$$

but equation 272 can be expanded into equation 273. The critical point in doing the expansion is that in generating the sum on the r.h.s. of the first line of equation 273 all products containing  $x_1$  (of course all terms in

the sum contain  $x_1$  exactly once since we are using the outer product) are put in normal order by bringing the  $x_1$  factor to the front of the product thus requiring the factor of  $(-1)^i$  in each term in the sum.

$$\begin{aligned}
(e_2 - e_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_k - e_1) &= e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k - \sum_{i=2}^k (-1)^i e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \check{e}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k e^i E_k
\end{aligned} \tag{273}$$

or

$$(\check{x}_0)_{(k-1)} = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^k e^i E_k \tag{274}$$

from equation 273 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^k (b \cdot (x_i - x_0)) e^i E_k \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^k (b \cdot e_i) e^i E_k \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{i=1}^k b_i e^i E_k \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} b E_k \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} (b \cdot E_k + b \wedge E_k) \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} b \cdot E_k
\end{aligned} \tag{275}$$

and equation 266 is proved which means substituting equation 266 into equation 265 proves equation 262

## The Fundamental Theorem At Last!

The simplicial coordinates,  $\lambda^i$ , can be expressed in terms of the position vector,  $x$ , and the frame vectors of the simplex,  $e_i = x_i - x_0$ . Let the vectors  $e^j$  be the reciprocal frame to  $e_i$  ( $e_i \cdot e^j = \delta_i^j$ ). Then

$$\lambda^i = e^i \cdot (x - x_0) \quad (276)$$

and let  $f(x)$  be an affine multivector function of  $x$  (equation 244) which interpolates,  $F$ , a differentiable multivector function of  $x$  on the simplex.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial(x)_{(k)}} f(x) dS &= \sum_{i=1}^k (F_i - F_0) \oint_{\partial(x)_{(k)}} e^i \cdot (x - x_0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (F_i - F_0) e^i \cdot (\Delta X) \end{aligned} \quad (277)$$

But

$$\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial \lambda^i} = F_i - F_0 \quad (278)$$

so that the surface integral of equation 277 can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\partial(x)_{(k)}} f(x) dS &= \sum_{i=1}^k (F_i - F_0) e^i \cdot (\Delta X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda^i} e^i \cdot (\Delta X) = \dot{f} \dot{\nabla} \cdot (\Delta X) \end{aligned} \quad (279)$$

If we now sum equation 279 over a chain of simplices realizing that the interpolated function  $f(x)$  takes on the same value over the common boundary of two adjacent simplices, since  $f(x)$  is only defined by the values at the common vertices. In forming a sum over a chain, all of the internal faces cancel and only the surface integral over the boundary remains. Thus

$$\oint f(x) dS = \sum_a \dot{f} \dot{\nabla} \cdot (\delta X^a) \quad (280)$$

with the sum running over all simplices in the chain. Taking the limit

as more points are added and each simplex is shrunk in size we obtain the first realization of the fundamental theorem of geometric calculus

$$\oint_{\partial V} F dS = \int_V \dot{F} \dot{\nabla} dX \quad (281)$$

We can write  $\nabla dX$  instead of  $\nabla \cdot dX$  since the vector  $\nabla$  is totally within the vector space defined by  $dX$  so that  $\nabla \wedge dX = 0$ . The method of proof used can also be applied to the form

$$\oint_{\partial V} dS G = \int_V \dot{\nabla} dX \dot{G} \quad (282)$$

A more general statement of the theorem is as follows:

Let  $L(A_{k-1}) = L(A_{k-1}; x)$  be a linear functional of a multivector  $A_{k-1}$  of grade  $k-1$  and a general function of position  $x$  which returns a general

multivector. The linear interpolation of  $L$  over a simplex is defined by:

$$L(A) \equiv L(A; x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda^i (L(A; x_i) - L(A; x_0)) \quad (283)$$

Then the integral over a simplex is

$$\begin{aligned} \oint L(dS) &= L\left(\oint dS; x_0\right) + \sum_{i=1}^k L\left(\oint \lambda^i dS; x_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^k L\left(\oint \lambda^i dS; x_0\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (L(e^i \Delta X; x_i) - L(e^i \Delta X; x_0)) \\ &= \dot{L}(\dot{\nabla} \Delta X) \end{aligned} \quad (284)$$

Taking the limit of a sum of simplicies gives

$$\oint_{\partial V} L(dS) = \int_V \dot{L}(\dot{\nabla} dX) \quad (285)$$

## Divergence and Green's Theorems

As a specific example consider  $L(A) = \langle JAI^{-1} \rangle$  where  $J$  is a vector,  $I$  is the unit pseudoscalar for a  $n$ -dimensional vector space, and  $A$  is a multivector of grade  $n - 1$ . Then equation 285 gives

$$\int_V \langle j \dot{\nabla} dX I^{-1} \rangle = \int_V \nabla \cdot J |dX| = \oint_{\partial V} \langle J dS I^{-1} \rangle \quad (286)$$

where  $absdX = I^{-1}dX$  is the scalar measure over the volume of interest. The normal to the surface,  $n$ , is defined by

$$n |dS| = dS I^{-1} \quad (287)$$

where  $|dS|$  is the scalar valued measure over the surface. With this

definition we get

$$\int_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} |dX| = \oint_{\partial V} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{J} |dS| \quad (288)$$

Now using the form of the Fundamental Theorem of Geometric Calculus in equation 282 and let  $G$  be the vector  $\mathbf{J}$  in two-dimensional Euclidian space and noting that since  $dX$  is a pseudoscalar it anticommutes with vectors in two dimensions we get -

$$\oint_{\partial V} dS \mathbf{J} = \int_V \dot{\nabla} dX \mathbf{J} = - \int_V \nabla \mathbf{J} dX \quad (289)$$

In Cartesian coordinates  $dX = I dx dy$  and

$$\oint_{\partial V} dS \mathbf{J} = - \int_V \nabla \mathbf{J} I dx dy. \quad (290)$$

Letting  $\mathbf{J} = P\mathbf{e}_1 + Q\mathbf{e}_2$  we get -

$$\oint Pdx + Qdy = \int \left( \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right) dxdy \quad (291)$$

which is Green's theorem in the plane.

## Cauchy's Integral Formula

Let  $\psi$  be an even grade multivector which then commutes with  $dX$  so that we can write -

$$\int \nabla \psi dX = \oint ds \psi = \oint \frac{\partial \mathbf{r}}{\partial \lambda} \psi d\lambda \quad (292)$$

but the complex number  $z$  is given by  $z = \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{r}$  and

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \oint ds \psi = \oint \psi dz = \int \mathbf{e}_1 \nabla \psi dX. \quad (293)$$

Thus if a function  $\psi$  is analytic,  $\nabla \psi = 0$  and  $\oint \psi dz = 0$ . Now note that

$$\nabla \frac{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}}{(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a})^2} = 2\pi \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a}) \quad (294)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \oint \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz &= \mathbf{e}_1 \int \nabla \left( \frac{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}}{(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a})^2} \mathbf{e}_1 f(x) \right) dX \\
 &= \mathbf{e}_1 \int \left( 2\pi\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}) \mathbf{e}_1 f(z) + \nabla f(z) \frac{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}}{(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a})^2} \mathbf{e}_1 \right) I |dX|
 \end{aligned} \tag{295}$$

If  $\nabla f(z) = 0$  we have -

$$\oint \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz = 2\pi I f(a) \tag{296}$$

which is the Cauchy integral formula. If  $\nabla f(z)$  is not zero we can write

the more general relation -

$$2\pi I f(a) = \oint \frac{f}{z-a} dz - 2 \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^\dagger} \frac{1}{z-a} I |dX| \quad (297)$$

# Green's Functions in Euclidian Spaces

## Blade Orientation Theorem

A blade only depends on the relative orientation of the vectors in the plane defined by the blade. Since any blade can be defined by the geometric product of two orthogonal vectors let them be  $e_x$  and  $e_y$ . Then any two vectors in the plane can be define by:

$$a = a_x e_x + a_y e_y \quad (298)$$

$$b = b_x e_x + b_y e_y \quad (299)$$

and any rotor in the plane by

$$R = ab = (a \cdot b) + (a_x b_y - a_y b_x) e_x e_y = (a \cdot b) + (a \times b) e_x e_y \quad (300)$$

as long as

$$RR^\dagger = 1 \quad (301)$$

but

$$R\mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_y = \mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_yR \quad (302)$$

and

$$R\mathbf{e}_xR^\dagger R\mathbf{e}_yR^\dagger = R\mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_yR^\dagger = \mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_yRR^\dagger = \mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_y \quad (303)$$

and absolute orientations of  $\mathbf{e}_x$  and  $\mathbf{e}_y$  does not matter for  $\mathbf{e}_x\mathbf{e}_y$ .

# References

1. Cambridge site: [www.mrao.cam.ac.uk/~clifford](http://www.mrao.cam.ac.uk/~clifford)
2. Arizona State site: [modelingnts.la.asu.edu](http://modelingnts.la.asu.edu)
3. Chris Doran and Anthony Lasenby, “Geometric Algebra for Physicists,” Cambridge University Press, 2003.
4. David Hestenes and Garret Sobczyk, “Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus,” Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1984.
5. David Hestenes, “New Foundations for Classical Mechanics (2<sup>nd</sup> edition),” Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.