

**STOP!** *There are some terms you need to know first ...*

**Premise:** a statement that assumes something to be true

**Conditional Premise:** a statement where “if” is a hypothesis and “then” is a conclusion

**Logic:** the relationship between ideas, intended to produce truthful conclusions

## **Inductive Logic: Specific to General**

Inductive reasoning allows for the possibility that a conclusion is false, even if all of the premises are true. Instead of being valid or invalid, inductive arguments are either *strong* or *weak*, which describes how *probable* it is that the conclusion is true. Inductive reasoning is inherently uncertain. It only deals in degrees to which, given the premises, the conclusion is *credible* according to some theory of evidence. For example:

*100% of biological life forms that we know of depend on liquid water to exist.* (Premise)

*If we discover a new biological life form it will likely depend on liquid water to exist.* (Strong)

*All the swans I have ever seen are white.* (Premise)

*All swans are probably white.* (Weak)

**Generalization:** proceed from a premise about a sample to a conclusion about a population

*The majority of college students at Montgomery College don't get enough sleep.* (Premise)

*Therefore, the majority of all college students probably don't get enough sleep.* (Conclusion)

**Prediction:** draws a conclusion about a future individual from a past sample

*Most cats panic when placed in a moving vehicle.* (Premise)

*My cat will probably hate riding in the car too* (Conclusion)

**Argument from Analogy:** noting the shared properties of two or more things, and from this premise inferring that they also share some further property

*Humans can move about, solve mathematical equations, win chess games, and feel pain.* (Prem.)

*Androids can also move about, solve math equations, and win chess games.* (Premise)

*Thus, it's probable that Androids, too, can feel pain.* (Conclusion)

### **Deductive Logic: General to Specific**

In deductive reasoning, if something is true of a class, or group, of things in general, it is also true for all members of that class. For example:

*All human beings will, one day, die.* (Premise)  
*Anastasia is a human being.* (Premise)  
→ *Anastasia will die.* (Logical Truth)

Assuming that both of the first statements are true, the final statement must also be true. This type of reasoning is only *sound*, however, if the generalization premise is true. Otherwise, a statement can be “logical” according to deduction and still be untrue. For example:

*All grandfathers are bald men.* (Faulty Premise)  
*Harold is a bald man.* (Premise)  
→ *Harold is a grandfather.* (Logical Untruth)

### **Law of Detachment:**

The law of detachment takes two premises, a conditional premise and a premise about a member of a class. Based on the truth of both premises, a conclusion can be deduced.

*If an angle is between 90° and 180°, then it is an obtuse angle.* (Conditional Premise)  
*Angle A is 120°.* (Premise about a Member of a Class)  
→ *Angle A is an obtuse angle.* (Logical Truth)

### **Law of Syllogism:**

The law of syllogism takes two conditional premises and forms a conclusion by combining the hypothetical (*if*) aspect of one statement with the conclusion (*then*) of another.

*If Larry is sick, then he will be absent.* (Conditional Premise)  
*If Larry is absent, he will miss his classwork.* (Conditional Premise)  
→ *Therefore, if Larry is sick, he will miss his classwork.* (Logical Truth)

### **Law of Contrapositive:**

The law of contrapositive states that, in a conditional premise, if the conclusion is false, then the hypothesis must be false also.

*If it is raining, then there are clouds in the sky.* (Conditional Premise)  
*There are no clouds in the sky.* (Premise Proving the Prior Conclusion False)  
→ *Thus, it is not raining.* (Logical Truth)