

February 12, 2020

Ms. Sharmila Pradhan *CHMM* Environmental Safety Manager Montgomery College Office of Facilities 9221 Corporate Boulevard Rockville, MD 20850 via e-mail: sharmila.pradhan@montgomerycollege.edu

RE: Indoor Environmental Quality and Mold Assessment Survey Report Counseling & Advising (CB) Building Montgomery College - Rockville Campus 51 Mannakee Street, Rockville, Maryland 20850 Tidewater Project Number: 5089-017

Dear Ms. Pradhan:

Tidewater, Inc. (Tidewater) performed a pre-occupancy Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ) and Mold Assessment survey within the Counselling & Advising (CB) Building of Montgomery College's Rockville, Maryland Campus located at 51 Mannakee Street in Rockville, Maryland. Tidewater performed the IEQ and mold survey on January 31, 2020 between the hours of 7:00 AM and 1:00 PM.

The CB Building is currently shut down due to on-going water intrusion problems that have affected the building. The testing was conducted on behalf of Montgomery College to document background IEQ comfort parameters, total volatile organic compounds (TVOCs) concentrations, and total airborne mold spore concentrations within select locations of the CB Building. The purpose of the survey was to determine if the test parameters were within established guidelines prior to the relocation of employees from the Physical Education (PE) building to the CB Building. The IEQ and mold assessment survey was performed by Tidewater's Project Manager and Certified Industrial Hygienist, Mr. Skanda Abeyesekere MS, CIH, CSP, CHMM.

SCOPE

The testing was limited to select locations located on the 1st and 2nd Floors of the CB Building that are anticipated to be occupied by the relocating employees. The scope of work for this IEQ and mold survey included the following:

- Visual inspection for evidence of potential indoor air quality problems (including suspect microbial growth, water damage, chemical use/storage, drain traps, sources of allergens/ contaminants etc.) that may contribute to indoor air quality problems;
- Direct-read measurements of indoor air quality parameters including temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), carbon dioxide (CO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), and total volatile organic compounds (TVOCs) for comparison with guidelines established by the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) Standard 62.1–2016, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality, and The United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS); and
- Spore trap air sampling to characterize for total airborne mold spores.



SAMPLING METHODOLOGY

Comfort Parameter Air Testing

During the assessment, Tidewater obtained temperature (T), relative humidity (RH), carbon dioxide (CO₂), and carbon monoxide (CO) measurements within select locations of the CB Building using a Metrosonics Indoor Air Quality instrument (Model Number AQ-5000, Serial Number 2319, Calibration Date: February 25, 2019.) Measurements were taken after allowing the instrument to become acclimated to the ambient temperature and relative humidity for approximately five (5) minutes. Measurements were taken over a 5-minute time period at each designated location and the average concentration was recorded. Samples were obtained for comparison with guidelines established by the American Society for Heating Refrigeration and Air Conditioning (ASHRAE) Standard 62.1 – 2016, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality.

A background sample was also obtained outside of the building for comparison to the interior readings.

Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOCs)

Tidewater collected direct-read measurements for TVOCs from select locations of the CB Building to characterize concentrations of airborne Total Volatile Organic Compounds. The measurements for TVOCs were obtained using a Mini-RAE 2000 Hand Held VOC meter (Model MINIRAE 2000, Serial Number 110-010833.) Measurements were taken after allowing the device to become acclimated to the ambient temperature and relative humidity for five (5) minutes. Measurements were taken over a 5-minute time period at each designated location and the average concentration was recorded.

A background measurement was also obtained outside of the building for comparison to the interior readings.

Spore Trap Bioaerosol Sampling (Total Spore Analysis)

Tidewater collected spore trap air samples from select locations of the CB Building to characterize potential airborne total fungal spores. The samples were collected from the same locations where the comfort parameters were recorded. Tidewater obtained the spore trap samples using Allergenco-D cassettes affixed to a Buck BioAire[™] Bioaerosol Sampling Pump (Pump Model Number B520 and Serial Number B152473) calibrated to a flow rate of 15.0 Liters per minute. Each sample was run for a period of five (5) minutes at each sample location to collect a total sample volume of 75.0 liters of air. Tidewater also collected a background sample outside of the building for comparison to the interior spore concentrations.

Once collected, the samples were transported to EMSL Analytical Laboratory (EMSL) located in Beltsville, Maryland for analysis. The samples were transported following rigorous chain-ofcustody guidelines to ensure proper handling and delivery of the samples. EMSL is accredited in the American Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA) Environmental Microbiology Laboratory Accreditation Program (EMLAP) and is a successful participant in AIHA's Environmental Microbiology Proficiency Analytical Testing (EMPAT) program (Laboratory Number 102891.) The samples were analyzed via light microscopy at the standardized magnification of 600X. This technique does not allow for the differentiation between *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* spores because they are morphologically identical. Additionally, the technique does not allow for cultivation, or the identification of spores to the species level, except in a few cases.



FIELD INSPECTION

Visual Observations

Counseling & Advising (CB) Building

The following offices were inspected during the visual inspection of the Counseling and Advising (CB) Building: Rooms 105, 109, 116H, 116A, 119, 122D, 122A located on the 1st Floor and Rooms 204, 218, and 215 located on the 2nd Floor. Tidewater's assessment included a visual inspection of these rooms for evidence of indoor air quality problems (including suspect mold growth, water damage, chemical use/storage, drain traps, sources of allergens/contaminants) that may contribute to indoor air quality problems.

Tidewater did not observe any evidence of past water intrusion on accessible floors or walls in the majority of the rooms inspected on the 1st Floor. However, water stained ceiling tiles were observed in Room 109 and Room 116-A. Additionally, missing ceiling tiles were observed in Room 112 and Room 116-H. No suspect mold formations were observed in any of the areas inspected. The office areas appeared to be relatively clean; however, particulate matter/accumulated dust was observed on the supply air diffusers in Room 105 and Room 109. Tidewater did not detect any significant odors emanating from any of the areas inspected on the 1st Floor of the CB Building, although the overall air appeared to be stagnant with poor ventilation.

The areas inspected on the 2nd Floor, Rooms 204 and 218, and the lobby area of Suite 215 appeared to be cluttered. Furthermore, the carpeting in Room 204, Room 218 and Suite 215 were stained. Access debris and settled dust was observed on the carpet in these areas. Water stained ceiling tiles and missing ceiling tiles were observed in multiple locations in Suite 215. No suspect mold formations were observed in any of the areas inspected.

The Counseling and Advising (CB) Building is equipped with constant volume heating ventilation and air conditioning systems. There is no means of humidity control in the CB building. The humidity is lowered by lowering the temperature of the thermostats of the Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems. The CB Building is currently unoccupied. The employees in the CB Building have been temporarily relocated to other buildings on the campus due to on-going water intrusion problems. The HVAC system is not in operation at it optimum capacity due to the building being vacant. Maintenance of the HVAC systems in the CB Building is reportedly performed approximately every three (3) months on a preventive maintenance schedule.

SAMPLING RESULTS

The indoor air quality parameters, total volatile organic compounds, and microbial spore traps results are summarized in this section. The corresponding laboratory analytical reports and chain of custody forms are included as appendices.

Comfort Parameters

According to the American Society for Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) guidelines Standard 62.1 – 2016, the temperature range in summer months should be maintained between 73.0° F and 79.0° F for maximum occupant comfort. The ASHRAE guideline for temperature for winter months is between 68.0° F and 74.5° F. Temperature levels within the assessed areas of the CB Building ranged between 64.7° F and 68.7° F, compared with a background (outdoors) average temperature of 58.4° F. The interior temperature



readings in a number of locations assessed in the CB Building were below the ASHRAE recommended temperature guideline of 68.0° F and 74.5° F for winter months.

Per the same guidelines, a maximum recommended relative humidity level of 65.0% is recommended to reduce the likelihood of condensation on cold surfaces and potential microbial growth. Measurements of relative humidity levels within the assessed areas of the CB Building ranged between 20.5% and 25.0%, compared with a background (outdoors) relative humidity level of 28.6%. All interior readings were below the ASHRAE Standard 62.1 – 2016 recommended guideline of 65.0%.

ASHRAE Standard 62.1 – 2016 recommends that indoor CO_2 levels not exceed 700 ppm above the outdoor (background) CO_2 level. The CO_2 readings in the assessed areas of the CB Building ranged between 456 ppm and 578 ppm, compared with an outdoor concentration of 520 ppm. The interior CO_2 readings in all areas assessed in the CB Building did not exceed 700 ppm above the outdoor (background) CO_2 levels and therefore were within ASHRAE Standard 62.1 – 2016 for CO_2 .

The CO levels were taken for comparison to the primary standard established by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA.) Based on the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) set by the EPA, the maximum CO concentration for occupational settings is 9.0 ppm. The CO levels within all areas assessed in the CB building were below 9.0 ppm and therefore were within the EPA NAAQ standard.

The results for the comfort parameter monitoring are provided in Table 1, below. A floor plan including sampling locations is included in **Appendix C**. The calibration certificate for the AQ-5000 Indoor Air Quality instrument is included in **Appendix D**.

TABLE 1: Comfort Parameters											
Sample Location	Temperature (°F)	Relative Humidity (%)	Carbon Dioxide (ppm)	Carbon Monoxide (ppm)							
Counseling & Advising Building											
0/// 405	January 51, 20	20	400	0.0							
Office 105	67.0	23.0	468	0.0							
Office 109	67.2	23.0	470	0.0							
Office 116-H	68.0	22.9	476	0.0							
Office 116-A	68.5	22.4	476	0.0							
Office 119	68.7	22.0	519	0.0							
Office 122-D	68.1	23.8	507	0.0							
Office 122-A	66.9	24.2	578	0.0							
Office 204	64.7	25.0	523	0.0							
Office 218	67.6	20.8	456	0.0							
Office 215 - Central Areas	68.5	20.5	462	0.0							
Background (Outdoors)	58.4	28.6	520	0.0							

Red = Below American Society for Heating, Refrigeration, and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) guidelines Standard 62.1 – 2016 for winter months.



Total Volatile Organic Compounds (TVOCs) - MiniRAE

There are no OSHA published guidelines for TVOCs. However, in general, the indoor air quality TVOC threshold for typical indoor occupied environments should not exceed 1,000 ppb (1.0 ppm) isobutylene units. The TVOC concentrations recorded in the building were all well below the recommend threshold level.

The results of the direct read TVOC monitoring are provided in Table 2, below. A floor plan including sampling locations is included in **Appendix C**. The calibration certificate for the Mini-RAE 2000 Hand Held VOC meter is included in **Appendix D**.

TABLE 2: TVOC Measurements										
Location	Average Result (ppm)	Threshold of 1000 ppb (1.0 ppm) Exceeded?								
Counseling & Advising Building January 31, 2020										
Office 105 0.0 No										
Office 109	0.0	No								
Office 116-H	0.0	No								
Office 116-A	0.0	No								
Office 119	0.0	No								
Office 122-D	0.0	No								
Office 122-A	0.0	No								
Office 204	0.0	No								
Office 218	0.0	No								
Office 215 - Central Areas	0.0	No								
Background (Outdoors)	0.0	No								

Spore Trap Bioaerosol Sampling

There are no universally accepted federal or State of Maryland standards for acceptable airborne concentrations of bioaerosols in an indoor occupational environment. In general, airborne concentrations indoors should be less than that found in the outdoor air, with similar species composition. Indoor spore counts significantly greater than outdoor concentrations, or the presence of large concentrations of different spore types indoors which are not found outdoors, may indicate potential microbial contamination in the sampled areas. Elevated counts are not necessarily an indication of active microbial growth.

The total mold spore concentrations in the samples collected inside the CB building ranged between None Detected and 300 Counts/m³. The background mold spore concentration was 240 Counts/m³. It should be noted that precipitation during this testing likely resulted in lower ambient spore concentrations.

The interior total mold spore concentration in Office 122-D marginally exceeded the total mold spore concentration of the background sample. Additionally, the *Aspergillus/Penicillium* concentration in Office 122-D exceeded the concentration detected in the background/outside



sample (None Detected.) Although the *Aspergillus/Penicillium* concentration in Office 122-D was slightly higher than the outside concentration, it was consistent with the total spore concentration outside and is unlikely to be an indication of a fungal reservoir inside the building. Tidewater did not observe any evidence of suspect mold formations in Office 122-D at the time of this testing.

The results for the spore trap sampling are provided in Table 3, below. The laboratory analytical reports and chain of custody forms are included in **Appendix B.** A floor plan including sampling locations is included in **Appendix C**.

	Table 3: Spore Trap Bioaerosol Results									
Sample #	Sample Location (Room / Cubicle)	Sample Location (Room / Cubicle)Sample Volume (L)Aspergillus/ 								
	Counseling & Advising Building January 31, 2020									
CB-1	Office 105	75.0	40	170						
CB-2	Office 109	75.0	None Detected	90						
CB-3	Office 116-H	75.0	None Detected	40						
CB-4	Office 116-A	75.0	None Detected	40						
CB-5	Office 119	75.0	90	220						
CB-6	Office 122-D	75.0	300	300						
CB-7	Office 122-A	75.0	None Detected	None Detected						
CB-8	Office 204	75.0	None Detected	None Detected						
CB-9	Office 218	75.0	None Detected	None Detected						
CB-10	Office 215 - Central Areas	75.0	None Detected	None Detected						
BG-1	Background	75.0	None Detected	240						

Red = Total mold spore concentration is above background concentration.



CONCLUSIONS

- The following conditions of concern were noted during the visual inspection: waterstained ceiling tiles in Room 109, Room 116-A and Room 215; missing ceiling tiles in Room 116-H and Suite 215; excessive particulate matter/accumulated dust on supply air diffusers in Room 105 and Room 109.; and stained/dirty carpet in Room 204, Room 218, and the lobby area of Suite 215.
- The interior temperature readings in a number of locations assessed in the CB Building were below the temperature guideline of 68.0°F and 74.5°F recommended in ASHRAE Standard 62.1 – 2016 for winter months.
- Relative humidity, CO₂, CO, and TVOC readings recorded in the building during this survey were within industry standards and guidelines.
- A review of the spore trap bioaerosol sampling results did not indicate the presence of a fungal reservoir inside the building. Tidewater did not observe any evidence of suspect mold formations on readily accessible surfaces in the surveyed building areas.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Adjust the thermostats of the HVAC systems supplying the CB building in order to maintain a temperature level between 68.0°F and 74.5°F recommended in ASHRAE Standard 62.1 – 2016 for winter months.
- Investigate above drop ceiling in Room 109, Room 116-A and Suite 215 for ongoing condensation leaks or other potential water sources within the CB Building. If any ongoing water leaks are detected, repair them immediately. If surface mold contamination is observed, appropriate steps should be taken to remediate and sanitize the affected areas.
- Remove all water stained/ water damaged ceiling tiles in the above mentioned areas. Ensure that the perimeter of the ceiling grids in each area is cleaned with a 10% bleach solution prior to installing new ceiling tiles. Replace all missing ceiling tiles in these areas.
- Ensure that all reinstallation and cleanup activities are conducted after hours when the rooms are unoccupied to minimize exposure to occupants.
- Replace missing ceiling tiles in Room 116-H and Suite 215.
- Cleaned/disinfect the return air grills in Room 109 and Room 105 to remove visible particulate matter and accumulated dust.
- Ensure the Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) System supplying air to the CB Building is properly balanced per design requirements and current occupancy/use in order to ensure adequate ventilation throughout the rooms.
- Ensure that the ventilation systems are turned on in all rooms and are operating at all times when the rooms are occupied to provide sufficient air flow and ventilation.
- Tidewater recommends vacuum cleaning (stream cleaning) all carpeting on the 1st and 2nd Floors and wiping down all horizontal surfaces with disinfectant cleaning solution to remove settled dust and debris prior to relocating employees to the CB Building



• All complaints related to allergies, odors, and poor indoor quality should be closely monitored and recorded after employees are relocated.

QUALIFICATIONS

Tidewater has endeavored to investigate existing conditions associated with select areas of the Counseling & Advising (CB) Building located on the Montgomery College Rockville Campus at 51 Mannakee Street in Rockville, Maryland as they pertain to indoor air quality. Regardless of the thoroughness of our investigation, it is possible that some contributing factor may have been overlooked or that circumstances have changed without our knowledge. Our results are based on the observations made on the day of our investigation and information provided by our Client. Actual conditions vary from day to day throughout the year.

Tidewater appreciates the opportunity to provide consulting services for Montgomery College on this matter. Please contact us should any questions arise concerning this report or if we may be of further assistance.

Sincerely, Tidewater, Inc.

Skunder Acquinsur

Skanda Abeyesekere, MS, CIH, CSP, CHMM Project Manager/ Certified Industrial Hygienist

SA/JG

Appendices:Appendix A – Photograph LogAppendix B – Laboratory Reports and Chain of Custody FormsAppendix C – Building Floorplan with Sample LocationsAppendix D – Instrument Calibration Reports



APPENDIX A

PHOTOGRAPH LOG





Photo No. 1: CB Building, Room 105 - particulate matter and accumulated dust on air supply diffuser.



Photo No. 2: CB Building, Room 109 – particulate matter and accumulated dust on air supply diffuser.





Photo No. 3: CB Building, Room 109 – Ceiling tile with minor water stains.



Photo No. 4: CB Building, Room 122 - Missing Ceiling Tile.





Photo No. 5: CB Building, Room 116-H - Missing Ceiling Tile.



Photo No. 6: CB Building, Room 122-D.





Photo No. 7: CB Building, Room 204 - Heavily-stained carpeting.



Photo No. 8: CB Building, Room 204 – Debris on Carpeting.





Photo No. 9: CB Building, Room 218 – Heavily-stained carpeting.



Photo No. 10: CB Building, Suite 215 (Center) – Heavily-stained carpeting.





Photo No. 11:CB Building, Room 215 – Water-stained / missing ceiling tiles.



Photo No. 12: CB Building, Room 215 – Water-stained ceiling tiles.



APPENDIX B

LABORATORY REPORTS AND CHAIN OF CUSTODY FORMS



10768 Baltimore Avenue Beltsville, MD 20705 Tel/Fax: (301) 937-5700 / (301) 937-5701 http://www.EMSL.com / beltsvillelab@emsl.com

 Phone:
 (443) 983-0362

 Fax:
 (410) 997-8713

 Collected:
 01/31/2020

 Received:
 01/31/2020

 Analyzed:
 01/31/2020

Project: CB-Building (Air Samples) MC-Rockville

Attn: Skanda Abeyeskere Tidewater, Inc.

Suite A

6625 Selnick Drive

Elkridge, MD 21075

Test Rep	Test Report: Air-O-Cell(™) Analysis of Fungal Spores & Particulates by Optical Microscopy (Methods MICRO-SOP-201, ASTM D7391)									
Lab Sample Number:		192001188-000 [,]	1	· ·	192001188-0002	2		192001188-000	3	
Client Sample ID:		CB-1			CB-2		CB-3			
Volume (L):		75			75		75			
Sample Location		Room 105			Room 109		Room 116H			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	1	40	100	
Ascospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Aspergillus/Penicillium	1	40	23.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Basidiospores	2	90	52.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chaetomium	1	40	23.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cladosporium	-	-	-	1	40	44.4	-	-	-	
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Epicoccum	-	-	-	1*	10*	11.1	-	-	-	
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	1	40	44.4	-	-	-	
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Fungi	4	170	100	3	90	100	1	40	100	
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	44	-	-	44	-	-	44	-	
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	3	-	-	3	-	
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Ifanie Schnid

Stefanie Schneider, Microbiology Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "" Denotes particles found at 300X, "." Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. The report reflects the samples as received. When the information supplied by the customer can affect the validity of the result, it will be noted on the report.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Beltsville, MD AIHA-LAP, LLC --EMLAP Accredited #102891

Initial report from: 01/31/2020 16:18:46

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/31/2020 16:18 PM



10768 Baltimore Avenue Beltsville, MD 20705 Tel/Fax: (301) 937-5700 / (301) 937-5701 http://www.EMSL.com / beltsvillelab@emsl.com

 Phone:
 (443) 983-0362

 Fax:
 (410) 997-8713

 Collected:
 01/31/2020

 Received:
 01/31/2020

 Analyzed:
 01/31/2020

Project: CB-Building (Air Samples) MC-Rockville

....

Attn: Skanda Abeyeskere Tidewater, Inc.

Suite A

T . . . **D** .

6625 Selnick Drive

Elkridge, MD 21075

Test Rept										
Lab Sample Number:		192001188-0004	1		192001188-000	5		192001188-0006	5	
Client Sample ID:		CB-4 75			CB-5 75			CB-6 75		
Volume (L):		75			75		75			
Sample Location		Room 116A		Room 119			Room 122D			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ascospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	-	-	2	90	40.9	7	300	100	
Basidiospores	1	40	100	2	90	40.9	-	-	-	
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cladosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	1	40	18.2	-	-	-	
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Fungi	1	40	100	5	220	100	7	300	100	
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	1	40	-	-	-	-	
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	40	-	
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	44	-	-	44	-	-	44	-	
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	3	-	-	4	-	-	2	-	
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

Ifanie Schnid

Stefanie Schneider, Microbiology Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "" Denotes particles found at 300X, "." Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. The report reflects the samples as received. When the information supplied by the customer can affect the validity of the result, it will be noted on the report.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Beltsville, MD AIHA-LAP, LLC --EMLAP Accredted #102891

Initial report from: 01/31/2020 16:18:46

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com //31/2020 16:18 PM



10768 Baltimore Avenue Beltsville, MD 20705 Tel/Fax: (301) 937-5700 / (301) 937-5701 http://www.EMSL.com / beltsvillelab@emsl.com

 Phone:
 (443) 983-0362

 Fax:
 (410) 997-8713

 Collected:
 01/31/2020

 Received:
 01/31/2020

 Analyzed:
 01/31/2020

Project: CB-Building (Air Samples) MC-Rockville

Attn: Skanda Abeyeskere Tidewater, Inc.

Suite A

6625 Selnick Drive

Elkridge, MD 21075

Test Repo	i est Report: Air-O-Ceii("") Analysis of Fungal Spore				Optical Microsc	opy (Methods N		I, ASTM D7391)		
Lab Sample Number:		192001188-0007		192001188-0008 CB-8			192001188-0009 CB-9			
Volume (L):		75 75					75			
Sample Location		Room 122A			Room 204		Room 218			
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Raw Count Count/m ³ % of Total		
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	
Ascospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Basidiospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cladosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total Fungi	-	None Detect	-	-	None Detect	-	-	None Detect	-	
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pollen	-	-	-	1	40	-	-	-	-	
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	44	-	-	44	-	-	44	-	
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	3	-	-	4	-	-	3	-	
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	
Background (1-5)	-	2	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

ijanie Schneide

Stefanie Schneider, Microbiology Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "" Denotes particles found at 300X, "." Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. The report reflects the samples as received. When the information supplied by the customer can affect the validity of the result, it will be noted on the report.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Beltsville, MD AIHA-LAP, LLC -- EMLAP Accredited #102891

Initial report from: 01/31/2020 16:18:46

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/31/2020 16:18 PM



10768 Baltimore Avenue Beltsville, MD 20705 Tel/Fax: (301) 937-5700 / (301) 937-5701 http://www.EMSL.com / beltsvillelab@emsl.com

 Phone:
 (443) 983-0362

 Fax:
 (410) 997-8713

 Collected:
 01/31/2020

 Received:
 01/31/2020

 Analyzed:
 01/31/2020

Project: CB-Building (Air Samples) MC-Rockville

Attn: Skanda Abeyeskere Tidewater, Inc.

Suite A

6625 Selnick Drive

Elkridge, MD 21075

Test Repo	ort: Air-O-Cell(⊺	™) Analysis of Fu	ungal Spores &	Optical Microso	copy (Methods I	ICRO-SOP-201	, ASTM D7391)		
Lab Sample Number:		192001188-0010			192001188-0011	l			
Client Sample ID:		CB-10			BG 75				
Volume (L): Sample Location		75			75				
Sample Location		Room 215G			Background				
Spore Types	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	Raw Count	Count/m ³	% of Total	-	-	-
Alternaria (Ulocladium)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Ascospores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Aspergillus/Penicillium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Basidiospores	-	-	-	5	200	83.3	-		-
Bipolaris++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Chaetomium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Cladosporium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Curvularia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Epicoccum	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Fusarium	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Ganoderma	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Myxomycetes++	-	-	-	3*	40*	16.7	-		-
Pithomyces++	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Rust	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Scopulariopsis/Microascus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Stachybotrys/Memnoniella	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Unidentifiable Spores	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Zygomycetes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Total Fungi	-	None Detect	-	8	240	100	-		-
Hyphal Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Insect Fragment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Pollen	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 600x	-	44	-	-	44	-	-	-	-
Analyt. Sensitivity 300x	-	13*	-	-	13*	-	-		-
Skin Fragments (1-4)	-	2	-	-	1	-	_		-
Fibrous Particulate (1-4)	-	1	-	-	1	-	-		-
Background (1-5)	-	1	-	-	2	-	-	-	-

++ Includes other spores with similar morphology; see EMSL's fungal glossary for each specific category.

ifanie Schneide

Stefanie Schneider, Microbiology Laboratory Manager or other approved signatory

No discernable field blank was submitted with this group of samples.

Samples received in good condition unless otherwise noted. High levels of background particulate can obscure spores and other particulates, leading to underestimation. Background levels of 5 indicate an overloading of background particulates, prohibiting accurate detection and quantification. Present = Spores detected on overloaded samples. Results are not blank corrected unless otherwise noted. The detection limit is equal to one fungal spore, structure, pollen, fiber particle or insect fragment. "" Denotes particles found at 300X, "." Denotes not detected. Due to method stopping rules, raw counts in excess of 100 are extrapolated based on the percentage analyzed. EMSL maintains liability limited to cost of analysis. This report relates only to the samples reported above and may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval by EMSL. EMSL bears no responsibility for sample collection activities or analytical method limitations. Interpretation and use of test results are the responsibility of the client. The report reflects the samples as received. When the information supplied by the customer can affect the validity of the result, it will be noted on the report.

Samples analyzed by EMSL Analytical, Inc. Beltsville, MD AIHA-LAP, LLC --EMLAP Accredted #102891

Initial report from: 01/31/2020 16:18:46

For information on the fungi listed in this report, please visit the Resources section at www.emsl.com MIC_M001_0002_0001 1.71 Printed: 01/31/2020 16:18 PM

Microbiology Chain of Custody EMSL Order Number (Lab Use Only):

						F	HONE: FAX:			
Company : Tidew	ater Inc				EMSL-Bill to: Different Same					
Street 6625 Selnick	Drive, Suite A				Third Party Billing requires written outhorization from third part					third party
City: Elkridge		state/Province	MD		Zin/Bostal Cade: 21075					i umu party
Pepert To (Nama):	Skanda Abeyesekere		<u> </u>		lonhor		 43-983-0	362	inay.	
Email Address Sk	anda@tideh2o.net				د میں <u>م</u>	0_570	9-1685			
Email Address:		niac)MC Back		ra	X #: T	0-01	-1000		nase Order:	
Project Name/Numbe	aken Maryland		vine -	Ple Co	ease P	rovide cut Sa	Results:		cial [] Res	.1 Mall
0.0, otale campies i	<u>T</u>	around Time (tionst	Dise		ok			
3 Hour	3 Hour 6 Hour 24 Hour 48 Hour						Hour	01W	eek [2 Week
Analysis completed in a	located in	the Ana	alytical F	rice Gu	ide. TATs	are subject	to methodolog	y requirements		
	Non Culturable Air Sample:					– Tes	st Codes	;		
M001 Air-O-Cell M049 BioSiS	M001 Air-O-Cell M173 Allegro M2 M004 Allegro M049 BioSIS M043 Buckard M043 Cycle					032 Alí	ergenco-l	י ר	 M172 Vers 	sa Trap
 M049 Bi0313 M030 Micro 5 	M030 Micro 5 M174 MoldSnap M176 R					130 Via	a-Cell			
	obiolo	gy Tes	t Cod	es						
M041 Fungal Direc	n Analy	sis		• N	1029 Enter	rococci				
M005 Viable Fungi	ID and Count	• M015	leterotro	phic Pia	ate Cou	unt	- N	1019 Feca	l Coliform	
 MUU5 Viable Fungi MU07 Culturable Fu 	ID and Count (Speciation)	M180 Panel	keal IIm	e Q-PC	K-EKN	11 36	• N	1133 MRS. 1028 Crypt	A Analysis fococcus neo	formans
 M008 Culturable Ft 	ungi (Speciation)	• M0187	otal Col	iform				Detection	0000000 100	ionnana
M009 Gram Stain C	Culturable Bacteria	(Membra	mbrane Filtration) • M120 Histoplasma capsulatul					ulatum	
M010 Bacterial Cou	unt and ID – 3 Most	• M020 F	Fecal Str	al Streptococcus Detection					_	
 M011 Bacterial Col 	unt and ID – 5 Most	• M210-2	Memora 215 Leai	oneila D	auon))etectio	n		033-39 An	a Alleraen	9
Prominent		 M026 F 	Recreatio	onal Wa	ter Scr	een		(Cat, Dog,	Cockroach, [Dustmites)
 M013 Sewage Con 	tamination in Buildings	• M027 N	Aycotoxi	n Analy	sis		• 0	ther See	Analytical Pri	ce Guide
Preservation Method	(Water):						-			
	l. l. Na		-		-	SKA	10+	ABETE	3 OLEN	E.
Name of Sampler:	sucret	~ -		Signature of Sampler:						
Sample #	Sample Loca	tion	San Ty	iple pe	Te Co	est ode	Volun	ne/Area	Date/Tim	e Collected
Example: A1	Kitchen		Air		M001		75L		1/1/12 4:00	PM
CB-1	Room 105		Ar	-	Moc	>/	75	· · · · ·	013	12020
CB - 2	R.00 109		<u>+</u>		<u> </u>		1			<u>,</u>
CB-3	Room 1161	+			l				<u> </u>	<u> </u>
CB-4	Room 1161	<u>}</u>			┞──┞					
CB-5	Room 119									
CB-6	Room 122	P			 \					
CB-7 ROOM 122A							 	<u></u>	[]	
CB-8 Room 204					 	\	<u></u>			
CB-9	9			<u>}</u>	4	·	l4	, 		
Client Sample # (s): // Total # of Samples: //										
Relinquished (Client): Dute: 01/31/20 Time: B. B.										
Received (Client):	+-louttink	<u> </u>	Date	: Ol	211	20	Tim	ie: (IPM	
skanda@tideh2o.net (mai.toyofuku@mont	/ okahawita@yahoo.com / gomerycollege.edu)	(sharmila.prad	han@mo	ontgome	erycolle	ge.edu	ı) / micha	el.rocke@r	montgomeryc	ollege.edu)

Page 1 of 2_ pages

Microbiology Chain of Custody EMSL Order Number (Lab Use Only):

	192	00118	8				PHONE: Fax:			
Company : Tidew	ater Inc				EMS	L-BIII to:	Difi	ferent Same		
Street 6625 Selnick	Drive, Suite A			Third Party Billing requires written authorization from third party						
City: Elkridge	St	ate/Province:	MD	Zini	Postal Code	21075	Co	mintry: U.S.		
Benort To (Name):	Skanda Abeyesekere			Tolophone #: 443-983-0362						
Email Addrose: Sk	anda@tideh2o.net		<u> </u>	Eav	# 410-579	-1685	Duro			
Project Name/Numbe	E-Building (Air-Samp	les)MC-Rock	ville	Please Provide Results: FAX E-mail Mail						
U.S. State Samples T	aken: Maryland			Con	necticut Sar	nples: 🗌 Co	mmei	rcial 🔲 Residential		
	Turna	around Time (TAT) Optio	ns* -	Please Chec					
3 Hour	6 Hour 24 Hour	🗌 48 Hoi	ır [🗌 7:	2 Hou	лг 🗌 96	Hour] 1 W	eek 📃 2 Week		
*Analysis completed in a	ccordance with EMSL's Terms	and Conditions	located in the	Analy	tical Price Guid	de. TATs are s	ubject	to methodology requirements		
	Non Cultur	able Air Sam	iples (Spo	ore Ti	raps) – Test	Codes	r	MATO Vores Trees		
M001 Air-O-Cell M049 BioSIS M030 Micro 5	 M173 Allegro M2 M003 Burkard M174 MoldShap 	M004 / M043 (M176 /	Syclex		 M032 Alle M002 Cyc M130 Via 	rgenco-D xlex-d -Cell		• MT/2 Versa Trap		
- 11000 1111010 0		Other Micr	obiology "	L Teet	Codes		<u> </u>			
M041 Fungal Direc	t Examination	• M014 E	ndotoxin Ar	nalvsi	S	• M029	Enter	nacocci		
 M005 Viable Fungi 	ID and Count	• M015 H	leterotrophi	c Plat	e Count	• M019	Feca	Coliform		
M006 Viable Fungi	ID and Count (Speciation)	- M180 F	Real Time Q	-PCR	ERMI 36	• M133	MRS	A Analysis		
M007 Culturable Fungi M008 Culturable Fungi (Speciation) M018 Tota			otal Califor	-		M028 Deter	M028 Cryptococcus neoformans Detection			
 M008 Culturable 1 M009 Gram Stain (M008 Culturable Fungi (Speciation) M018 Tot M009 Gram Stain Culturable Bacteria (Methods)			Membrane Filtration)				plasma capsulatum		
 M010 Bacterial Cor 	unt and ID – 3 Most	• M020 Å	ecal Streptococcus			Detec	tion	· ·		
Prominent			Membrane I	Membrane Filtration)			-39 Al	lergen Testing		
MU11 Bactenal Co Prominent	unt and ID - 5 Most	• M210-2	Recreational	l Wate	er Screen	(Cat.	Doa.	Cockroach, Dustmites)		
M013 Sewage Con	tamination in Buildings	• M027 N	lycotoxin A	nalysi	is	Other	See	Analytical Price Guide		
Preservation Method	(Water):									
ł			ł							
Name of Sampler:			Sig	natu	re of Sample	r:				
Sample #	Sample Locati	on	Sample Type	•	Test Code	Volume/A	rea	Date/Time Collected		
Example: A1	Kitchen		Air		M001	75L		1/1/12 4:00 PM		
CB-10	Roon 2/50	3	AN		M00)	70		01/31/2020		
	Record	/	And	 -	14 10 1	7-		·····		
139	BACKGIDANA			{-	1001	72				
 										
} <u>_</u>			· <u> </u>							
·	<u> </u>							}		
}			├					<u> </u>		
Cilent Sample # (c);	L		L		L	95.		L		
Client Sample # (5):						Time				
Received (Client).										
Comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Skanua@udenzo.net	/ okahawita@yahoo.com / ((sharmila.prad	han@montg	gomer	ycollege.edu) / michael.ro	cke@i	montgomerycollege.edu)		

Page 1 of 2 pages



APPENDIX C

BUILDING FLOOR PLAN WITH SAMPLE LOCATIONS







APPENDIX D

INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION REPORTS

INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION REPORT



Tidewater, Inc.

Instrument ID	2319						
Description	Metrosonics AQ-	5000					
Calibrated	2/25/2019						
Manufacturer	Metrosonics			Classificatio	n		
Model Number	AQ-5000			State	us Pass		
Serial Number	2319			Frequence	y Yearly EON	Л	
Location	New Jersey			Departme	nt		
Temp	71			Humidi	ty 21		
		Calibra	tion Specifications				
Group	p#1			Range Acc %	0.0000		
Group Nar	me Carbon Dioxie	de		Reading Acc %	3.0000		
Stated Ac	cy Pct of Reading	2		Plus/Minus	0.00		
<u>Nom In Val / In Val</u>	In Type	<u>Out Val</u>	Out Type	Fnd As	Lft As	Dev%	Pass/Fail
0.00 / 0.00	PPM	0.00	PPM	20.00	0.00	0.00%	Pass
5000.00 / 5000.00	PPM	5000.00	PPM	5,080.00	4,960.00	-0.80%	Pass
Group	p# 2			Range Acc %	0.0000		
Group Nar	me Relative Hum	idity		Reading Acc %	3.0000		
Stated Ac	cy Pct of Reading	3		Plus/Minus	0.00		
<u>Nom In Val / In Val</u>	<u>In Type</u>	Out Val	Out Type	Fnd As	Lft As	Dev%	Pass/Fail
30.00 / 30.50	%	30.50	%	30.20	30.20	-0.98%	Pass
Group	o # 3			Range Acc %	0.0000		
Group Nar	ne Temperature			Reading Acc %	0.0000		
Stated Ac	cy Plus / Minus		<i>27</i>	Plus/Minus	0.90		
<u>Nom In Val / In Val</u>	In Type	Out Val	Out Type	Fnd As	Lft As	Dev%	Pass/Fail
70.00 / 66.00	°F	66.00	°F	66.20	66.20	0.30%	Pass
Group) # 4			Range Acc %	0.0000		
Group Nan	ne Carbon Monoz	kide		Reading Acc %	3.0000		
Stated Ac	cy Pct of Reading	ç		Plus/Minus	0.00		
<u>Nom In Val / In Val</u>	In Type	Out Val	Out Type	Fnd As	Lft As	Dev%	Pass/Fail
0.00 / 0.00	PPM	0.00	PPM	0.00	0.00	0.00%	Pass
200.00 / 200.00	PPM	200.00	PPM	170.00	201.00	0.50%	Pass

INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION REPORT



Tidewater, Inc.

I

Instrument ID2319DescriptionMetrosonics AQ-5000Calibrated2/25/2019

Test Instruments Us	Test Instruments Used During the Calibration									
				(As Of Ca	l Entry Date)					
Test Instrument ID	Description	Manufacturer	Serial Number	Last Cal Date	Next Cal Date					
CO 200PPM	CO 200ppm GAP-50-200-3	Spec Air	34LS-50-200	6/1/2015	6/16/2019					
34LS-50-200										
CO2 5000PPM	Carbon Dioxide 5000 PPM	Liquid Technology	CAQ-35-5000-5	2/1/2016	2/22/2020					
CAQ-34-5000-5	LOT # LTB192-MD-CM									
MICHELL	Relative Humidity Meter	Michell	273296	9/17/2018	9/17/2019					
DM-509-TX-01										
NITROGEN	Nitrogen 99.999%	Liquid Technology	7727-37-9	6/1/2016	6/1/2019					
ZERO_AIR_105	Zero Grade Air THC <1.0	Liquid Technology	KAP-A-10	10/1/2015	10/20/2019					
L-1	PPM									

Notes about this calibration

Calibration ResultCalibration SuccessfulWho CalibratedDavid Galego

Advanced Labs, Inc. hereby certifies that this instrument is calibrated and functions to meet the manufacture's specifications using NIST traceable standards, or is derived from accepted values of physical constants.



INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION REPORT



Pine Environmental Services, LLC.

Tidewater MD

Instru Des	ment ID	110-010833 MINIRAE 2000	0					
Ca	librated 4	4/9/2019						
Manut	facturer I	Rae Systems			Frequen	cy 6 Month	S	
Model I	Number 1	MINIRAE 2000	0		Stat	us Pass		
Serial I	Number 1	110-010833			Tem	ıp 24		
I	location I	Maryland			Humidi	ty 39		
Depa	artment (CATHY MOOF	RE					
			Calibra	tion Specifications	5			
Gr	Group # oup Name tated Accy	# 1e ISOBUTYLIy Pct of Reading	ENE	R	Range Acc % eading Acc % Plus/Minus	0.0000 3.0000 0.00		
<u>Nom In Val / In V</u>	<u>/al I</u>	n Type	<u>Out Val</u>	Out Type	Fnd As	Lft As	Dev%	Pass/Fail
100.00 / 100.00	p	opm	100.00	ppm	92.80	101.00	1.00%	Pass
Test Instruments	Used Du	ring the Calibr	ration			<u>(As O</u>	of Cal Entr	<u>y Date)</u>
Test Instrument ID MD ISO 100PPM FBI-248-100-12	Description MD ISO	<u>on</u> 100PPM	<u>Manufacturer</u> Pine Environmental Services, Inc.	<u>Model Number</u> FBI-248-100-12	<u>Serial Numl</u> Lot Number 34LS-248-	<u>ber /</u> <u>r Last C</u> 100 5/23/2	Cal Date Ex 2022	ext Cal Date / (piration Date
MD ZERO AIR FBI-1-25	ZERO Al 20.9%VC Balance	IR Oxygen DL, Nitrogen	Pine Environmental Services, Inc.	31844	FBI-1-25			

Notes about this calibration

Calibration Result Calibration Successful Who Calibrated Ryan Armstrong

Pine Environmental Services, LLC. hereby certifies that this instrument is calibrated and functions to meet the manufacturer's specifications using NIST traceable standards, or is derived from accepted values of physical constants.